



THE BEST COPY  
OBTAINABLE IS INCLUDED  
IN THE REPRODUCTION OF  
THESE DOCUMENTS. PAGES  
INCLUDED THAT ARE  
BLURRED, LIGHT, OR  
OTHERWISE DIFFICULT TO  
READ ARE THE RESULT OF  
THE CONDITION OF THE  
ORIGINAL DOCUMENT. NO  
BETTER COPY CAN BE  
REPRODUCED.



FBI

Date: 6/17/74

Transmit the following in \_\_\_\_\_  
(Type in plaintext or code)Via AIRTEL AIRMAIL **b7c** **NT**  
(Priority)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI  
(ATTN.: FBI LABORATORY)

FROM: SAC, MIAMI (8-271) (P)

SUBJECT: UNSUB aka  
Pedro Rebozo;  
CHARLES G. REBOZO -  
VICTIM  
EXTORTION  
(OO: MIAMI)

740624050

Enclosed herewith are two sealed evidence envelopes, one containing a letter and another containing the envelope in which the letter was received on 6/11/74 by the victim. Also enclosed is one xerox copy each of the letter and envelope.

The facts in this case were presented to Assistant U.S. Attorney CLEMENS HAGGLUND on 6/11/74. Mr. HAGGLUND said it did not appear that the contents of the letter constituted a threat within the scope of the extortion statute; however, he would defer prosecutive opinion pending additional investigation to identify the unknown subject.

It is requested that the enclosed envelope and letter be examined for fingerprints. It is also requested that they be searched through the National Anonymous Letter File.

Mr. REBOZO said he considered this to be a "nut letter" and did not fear for his life. He said he did not have any information concerning the addressor of the envelope.

3 - Bureau (Encs. 2) (AM) (RM)  
2 - Miami  
TJS/jjl  
(5)

REC-52

9-57906-1

EX-112

MCT-28

JUN 21 1974

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED  
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED  
DATE 11-9-99 BY SP5-JC/TMR CH 42

436303/445364

Approved: [Signature]  
Special Agent in Charge

Sent \_\_\_\_\_ M Per \_\_\_\_\_

Copy and Specimens retained in Lab  
for Lab Action and Report

Adex Lab Files

MM 9-2711

Leads are being set out for New York by separate communication. Because of the victim's association with President NIXON, U.S. Secret Service, Miami, was advised on 6/11/74.



## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Washington, D. C. 20537

## REPORT

of the

## IDENTIFICATION DIVISION

## LATENT FINGERPRINT SECTION

EX-101

YOUR FILE NO. 9-2711  
 FBI FILE **REC-127** 9-57906 - 1  
 LATENT CASE NO. A-92448

July 17, 1974

TO: S/C, Miami

RE: UNSUB., AKA  
 PEDRO ROBOZO;  
 CHARLES C. REBOZO-VICTIM  
 EXTORTION

REFERENCE: Airtel 6-17-74  
 EXAMINATION REQUESTED BY: Miami  
 SPECIMENS: Q1 and Q2, envelope and letter

Listed Q specimens further described in  
 separate Laboratory report.


No latent prints of value developed on  
 enclosed specimens.

Assoc. Dir. \_\_\_\_\_  
 Dep. AD Adm. \_\_\_\_\_  
 Dep. AD Inv. \_\_\_\_\_  
 Asst. Dir.:  
 Admin. \_\_\_\_\_  
 Comp. Syst. \_\_\_\_\_  
 Ext. Affairs \_\_\_\_\_  
 Files & Com. \_\_\_\_\_  
 Gen. Inv. \_\_\_\_\_  
 Ident. \_\_\_\_\_  
 Inspection \_\_\_\_\_  
 Intell. \_\_\_\_\_  
 Laboratory \_\_\_\_\_  
 Plan. & Eval. \_\_\_\_\_  
 Spec. Inv. \_\_\_\_\_  
 Training \_\_\_\_\_

Enc. (2)

AJL:lnp

(4)



ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED  
 HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED  
 DATE 11-9-99 BY SP5-JC/TMB

436303/445364

*Clarence M. Kelley*  
 Clarence M. Kelley, Director

JUL 22 1974  
 Director Sec'y

THIS REPORT IS FURNISHED FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY  
 MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

*HEC*  
*WHP*

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION  
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Recorded  
dwa  
6/26/74

Laboratory Work Sheet

NO LAB FILE

Re:  
UNSUB, aka  
Pedro Rebozo;  
CHARLES G. REBOZO - VICTIM  
EXTORTION

File # 6-1  
Lab.# 740624058 JB

OO: Miami

Examination requested by: Miami (9-2711) A. 6/17/74

Examination requested: Document-Fingerprint

Date received: 6/21/74

Result of Examination:

Examination by: [REDACTED]

*7/2/81*  
*No late I*  
*Proct N - No late of eval*

*b7c*

*7/2/81*  
*Examination*  
*7/2/81*

- Q1 Envelope bearing illegible postmark and hand printed address  
"MR. BEBE REBOZO KEY BISCAVNE FLORIDA"
- Q2 One page hand printed letter beginning "P.S. BE SURE....."

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED

11-9-99 *SP-7C/TMB*  
436303/445364

*11/18/90*

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

# Memorandum

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (9- )  
(ATTN: FBI Laboratory)

FROM : SAC, NEW YORK (9-6543)

DATE: 7/8/74

SUBJECT: UNSUB aka  
Pedro Rebozo;  
CHARLES G. REBOZO -  
VICTIM;  
EXTORTION  
(OO:MM)

740715033

Reference Miami letter to New York, dated  
6/17/74.

ENCLOSURE FOR THE BUREAU  
ATTENTION LABORATORY

Enclosed for the Bureau is a two page hand-  
writing sample which reproduces the letter received by  
victim. This sample was written by PEDRO REBOZO, 137-33  
79th Street, Queens, New York.

The Laboratory is requested to compare this  
sample with the original, previously submitted by Miami,  
to determine if the handwriting is identical.

Enclosed for Miami is an FD-302 of the interview  
of PEDRO REBOZO, 137-33 79th Street, Queens, New York,  
and a xerox copy of handwriting sample.

For the information of Miami, the New York  
Office has obtained a photograph of PEDRO REBOZO which  
it has placed on file, should it be of any future inves-  
tigative interest.

REC-31

9-57906-2

ENCLOSURE

- 2 - Bureau (Encls. 2) (1 - FBI Lab)
- 2 - Miami (9-2711) (Encls. 2)
- 1 - New York

BHC:kxs  
(5)

EX-110

JUL 12 1974

SEVEN  
ONE

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED

HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED

DATE 11-9-99 BY SP5-JC/TMB

436303 445364

Buy U.S. Savings Bonds Regularly on the Payroll Savings Plan

EX-110

30

OL

Index Lab Files



5010-110

RECORDED

7/16/74

jms

7-2

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION  
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Laboratory Work Sheet

Examination by [REDACTED]

67C

XXXXXX

7/12/74

NO LAB FILE

To: SAC, New York (9-6543)

Date:

From: Director, FBI

Re: UNSUB aka Pedro Rebozo;  
CHARLES G. REBOZO - VICTIM;  
EXTORTION  
OO: Miami

FBI File No. 9-57906 - 2

Lab. No. D-740715033 JB

Examination requested by: New York

Reference: Letter dated 7/8/74

Examination requested: Document

Specimens received 7/12/74

K1 Sheet of paper bearing known writings of  
PEDRO REBOZO

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED  
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED  
DATE 11-9-99 BY SP5-J/TWS  
436303/445364

Photo neg  
in file  
why

67C



**FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION  
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20535**

To: SAC, New York (9-6543)

Date: July 23, 1974

From: Director, FBI

Re: UNSUB aka Pedro Rebozo;  
CHARLES G. REBOZO - VICTIM;  
EXTORTION  
OO: Miami


REG-135 FBI File No. 9-57906-2  
Lab. No. D-740715033 JB

Examination requested by: New York  
Reference: Letter dated 7/8/74  
Examination requested: Document  
Remarks:

Enclosures (3) (K1, 2 Lab report)

2 - Miami (9-2711) - Enclosures (2) (2 Lab report)

LLS:jms  
(6)



ADMINISTRATIVE PAGE

57 JUL 28 1974

MAIL ROOM ☒

TELETYPE UNIT ☐

436303 / 445364  
SP5 J/TMG  
119-99



**REPORT  
of the****FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION  
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20535**

To: SAC, New York (9-6543)

Re: UNSUB aka Pedro Rebozo;  
CHARLES G. REBOZO - VICTIM;  
EXTORTION

Date: July 23, 1974  
FBI File No. 9-57906  
Lab. No. D-740715033 JB

Specimens received 7/12/74

K1 Sheet of paper bearing known writings of  
PEDRO REBOZO

**Result of examination:**

It was not determined whether PEDRO REBOZO, K1, prepared any of the questioned hand printing on the envelope and letter, Q1 and Q2, that were previously submitted in this case because of the presence of hand printing characteristics in the questioned handwriting that do not appear in the known handwriting specimen, K1. It was found that significant differences in hand printing characteristics were noted which suggest that the questioned envelope and letter may have been prepared by someone other than REBOZO, K1.

Specimen K1 is returned herewith. Photographs have been retained.

RECORDED

7/16/74

7-2

jms

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION  
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Laboratory Work Sheet

Examination by

**67C**

~~XXXXXX~~

7/12/74

NO LAB FILE

To: SAC, New York (9-6543)

Date:

From: Director, FBI

Re: UNSUB aka Pedro Rebozo;  
CHARLES G. REBOZO - VICTIM;  
EXTORTION  
OO: Miami

FBI File No. 9-57906

Lab. No. D-740715033 JB

Examination requested by: New York

Reference: Letter dated 7/8/74

Examination requested: Document

*No concl K1 w/ Q1 + Q2 - encl & letter,  
reexpl. char. - sig diff.  
K1 ret'd -  
7-19-74/g*

Specimens received 7/12/74

K1 Sheet of paper bearing known writings of  
PEDRO REBOZO

*2:17 PM*

*7-23-74*

*4:15 PM*

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED  
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED

11-9-99 3PG-JC/TMB  
436303/445364

67C



FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION  
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20535

4 To: SAC, Miami (9-2711)

Date: July 5, 1974

From: Director, FBI

Re: UNSUB, aka Pedro Rabozo;  
CHARLES G. REBOZO - VICTIM;  
EXTORTION

FBI File No. 9-57906

Lab. No. D-740624058 JB

Examination requested by: Miami

Reference: Airtel dated 6/17/74

Examination requested: Document - Fingerprint

Remarks:

The results of the latent fingerprint examination requested and the return of the submitted evidence will be handled separately.

REC-34


9-57906-3

"ENCLOSURE ATTACHED"

19 JUL 19 1974

Enclosures (2) (2 Lab report)

EH:jms  
(4)



ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED  
DATE 11-9-99 BY SP5-JC/TMB  
436303 445364

ADMINISTRATIVE PAGE

ENCLOSURE

58 MAIL ROOM [ ]  
JUL 20 1974

TELETYPE UNIT [ ]

67C

T635

**REPORT  
of the****FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION  
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20535**

To: SAC, Miami (9-2711)

Date: July 5, 1974

Re: UNSUB, aka Pedro Rebozo;  
CHARLES G. REBOZO - VICTIM;  
EXTORTION

FBI File No. 9-57906

Lab. No. D-740624058 JB

Specimens received 6/21/74

- Q1 Envelope bearing illegible postmark and hand printed address "MR. BEBE REBOZO KEY BISCAYNE FLORIDA"
- Q2 One page hand printed letter beginning "P.S. BE SURE..."

**Result of examination:**

Items Q1 and Q2 were searched through the Anonymous Letter File with negative results. Appropriate additions will be made to this file for future reference.

No watermarks or other identifying characteristics were noted which would indicate the possible source of the paper comprising Q1 and Q2. This material was examined for indented writings with negative results. The eight-cent stamp appearing on the Q1 envelope originated from a coil while the two-cent appearing on this envelope originated from a sheet of stamps.

The submitted evidence has been photographed and will be returned separately.

11-9-99 SP5-J/TMB  
436303 445364

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION  
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Recorded  
dwa  
6/26/74

Laboratory Work Sheet

NO LAB FILE

Re:  
UNSUB, aka  
Pedro Rebozo;  
CHARLES G. REBOZO - VICTIM  
EXTORTION

File # 9-57906 3  
Lab.# 740624058 JB

OO: Miami

Examination requested by: Miami (9-2711) A. 6/17/74

Examination requested: Document-Fingerprint

Date received: 6/21/74

Result of Examination:

Examination by: [REDACTED]

*01552 ... dated 6/21/74*  
*No wms. No indicated markings. Stamps*  
*Q1 8 & coil and 2 sheets.*

*T.F. Lab: ...*

*6/27/74*  
*ACC*

- Q1 Envelope bearing illegible postmark and hand printed address  
"MR. BEBE REBOZO KEY BISCAVNE FLORIDA"
- Q2 One page hand printed letter beginning "P.S. BE SURE....."

*Upper Case - Hate*  
*Florida*  
*Georgia*  
*Alabama*  
*7-5-74*  
*ACH: [unclear]*  
*6/27/74*

*added*  
*Hate Florida*  
*etc*

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED  
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED  
DATE 11-9-99 BY SP5-JCT/MBS  
436303 445364

Recorded  
dwa  
6/26/74

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION  
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Laboratory Work Sheet

NO LAB FILE

Re:  
UNSUB, aka  
Pedro Rebozo;  
CHARLES G. REBOZO - VICTIM  
EXTORTION

File # 9-57906 3  
Lab.# 740624058 JB

OO: Miami

Examination requested by: Miami (9-2711) A. 6/17/74

Examination requested: Document-Fingerprint

Date received: 6/21/74


Result of Examination:

*Trace & return to  
6/28/74 RMA*

Examination by: [REDACTED]

**67C**

*Examined LIPS [illegible] 7/1/74*



- Q1 Envelope bearing illegible postmark and hand printed address  
"MR. BEBE REBOZO KEY BISCAYNE FLORIDA"
- Q2 One page hand printed letter beginning "P.S. BE SURE....."

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED  
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED  
DATE 11-9-99 BY SP5-JP/TMB  
436803 445364

RE: UNSUB, aka Pedro Rebozo

ENCLOSURES: Orig letter and envelope received 6/11/74 by victim and one xerox copy of both letter and envelope.

TO: DIRECTOR  
ATTN.: FBI LABORATORY

FROM: MIAMI

MM FILE # 9-2711

Transmitted via Miami with Miami airtel to Bureau dated 6/17/74.

6-17-74  
L. A. 448

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED ON ENVELOPE  
6-9-74 SPS-JC/TMB  
426203/445264

9-57906-3

FROM

PEDRO REBOZO  
137-3379 HOWARD BEACH  
QUEENS, N.Y.CITY

POSTMASTER

PLEASE  
DELIVER  
DONT KNOW  
STREET NUMBER  
THANK YOU



PERSONAL + CONFIDENTIAL

TO MR. BEBE REBOZO (PRES. NIXON'S  
KEY BISCAYNE  
FLORIDA FRIEND)

UNCL  
119-99 SP5 JCTMB  
436303/445304

740624058 JB Q1



P.S. BE SURE + LEAVE YOUR MONEY TO AN  
IMPEACHED PRESIDENT + HIS LESBIAN  
DAUGHTER, TRISCIA. AS YOU LAY DYING, THINK  
OF YOUR STUPIDITY.

DEAR MR. REBOZO

A SUM OF MONEY (1 MILLION  
DOLLARS) HAS BEEN DEPOSITED  
TO A NUMBERED SWISS ACCOUNT  
(LARGE BANK). THIS MONEY IS TO  
BE PAID TO A PROFESSIONAL HIRED  
+ DEADLY KILLER, WHEN HE KILLS  
YOU. NO MATTER WHAT PRECAUTIONS  
YOU TAKE; NO MATTER WHERE  
YOU HIDE, NO MATTER HOW MANY  
BODY GUARDS YOU WILL HAVE  
AROUND YOU, HE WILL FIND YOU.  
HE IS ALREADY IN YOUR AREA,  
+ MAKING SURE THAT NOTHING  
WILL PREVENT HIS MISSION TO  
KILL YOU. HIS "CONTRACTS" ARE ALWAYS  
SUCCESSFUL, FOR HIS SCOPER RIFLE  
EXPERIENCE IS PHENOMENAL. HE  
IS DOING THIS FOR MANY YEARS, BUT,  
WHERE HE LIVES, NO ONE SUSPECTS HIM.  
HE HAS NO RECORD, NO FINGERPRINTS ETC.  
GOOD BYE, YOU ARE A DEAD FOOL  
ALL BECAUSE NIXON "USED" YOU.

11-9-99 SP5-JC/TMP  
436303/445364

740624058 JB Q2

11-9-99 SPS-J/TMB  
436303/445304

FROM PEDRO REBOZO  
134-33 49 ST HOWARD BEACH  
QUEENS NY CITY

POSTMASTER  
PLEASE  
DELIVER  
DONT KNOW  
STREET NUMBER  
TYAND ADV  
PERSONAL CONFIDENTIAL

TO MR. BEBE REBOZO (PRES. NINON'S  
KEY BISCAYNE FRIEND)  
FLORIDA

S.A.

WITNESS

FBI New York City

67C

9-57906 K1 JB

unclab  
11-9-99

SF5-1517

P.S. BE SURE X LEAVE NO MONEY TO

IMPEACHED PRESIDENT X HIS LESBIAN  
DAUGHTER, TRISCIA. AS YOU LAY DYING THINK  
OF YOUR STUPIDITY.

DEAR MR. REBOZO

A SUM OF MONEY (MILLION  
DOLLARS) HAS BEEN DEPOSITED  
TO A NUMBERED SWISS ACCOUNT  
(LARGE BANK) THIS MONEY IS TO  
BE PAID TO A PROFESSIONAL  
DEADLY KILLER. WHEN HE KILLS  
YOU. NO MATTER WHAT PRECAUTIONS  
YOU TAKE NO MATTER WHERE  
YOU HIDE, NO MATTER HOW MANY  
BODY GUARDS YOU WILL HAVE  
AROUND YOU HE WILL FIND YOU.  
HE IS ALREADY IN YOUR AREA,  
X MAKING SURE THAT NOTHING  
WILL PREVENT HIS MISSION TO  
KILL YOU. HIS "CONTRACTS" ARE ALWAYS  
SUCCESSFUL, FOR HIS SCOPE RIFLE  
EXPERIENCE IS PHENOMENAL. HE  
IS DOING THIS FOR MANY YEARS, BUT  
WHERE HE LIVES, NO ONE SUSPECTS HIM  
HE HAS NO RECORD. NO FINGERPRINTS ETC.

GOOD BYE YOU ARE A DEAD FOOL

ALL BECAUSE NIXON "USED" YOU.

Special Agent FBI New York City

WITNESS

676

FILE#

740624058

DATE \_\_\_\_\_

EXAMINER

#

J. B.


Q#

K#


NEGATIVES



INITIALS \_\_\_\_\_

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED ON ENVELOPE  
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED  
DATE 11-9-99 BY SP3 JC/TMB  
436303 / 445364



28-10-1970





FILE#

9-57906

DATE \_\_\_\_\_

EXAMINER

# JB



Q#

K#

NEGATIVES

INITIALS \_\_\_\_\_

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED ON ENCL  
DATE 1-9-99 SPSJC/TMB  
436308 445364





## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INV. STIGATION

REPORTING OFFICE <b>MIAMI</b>	OFFICE OF ORIGIN <b>MIAMI</b>	DATE <b>8/19/74</b>	INVESTIGATIVE PERIOD <b>6/11/74 - 8/15/75</b>
TITLE OF CASE <b>UNKNOWN SUBJECT, aka Pedro Rebozo; CHARLES G. REBOZO - VICTIM</b>		REPORT MADE BY <b>[REDACTED]</b>	TYPED BY <b>mes</b>
		CHARACTER OF CASE <b>EXTORTION</b>	<b>67C</b>

REFERENCES

Miami airtel to the Bureau dated 6/17/74.  
New York letter to the Bureau dated 7/8/74.  
Bureau letters to Miami dated 7/17/74 and 7/23/74.

- C -

ADMINISTRATIVE

A copy of this report is being disseminated locally to the United States Secret Service, Miami, Florida, at their request.

ACCOMPLISHMENTS CLAIMED				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NONE	ACQUIT-TALS	CASE HAS BEEN:
CONVIC.	FUG.	FINES	SAVINGS	RECOVERIES		
APPROVED <b>[Signature]</b>				SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE		
COPIES MADE:				DO NOT WRITE IN SPACES BELOW		
① - Bureau (9-57906) 1 - USA, Miami (Attn.: AUSA CLEMENS HAGGLUND) 1 - U. S. Secret Service, Miami (Attn.: SA <b>[REDACTED]</b> ) 1 - Miami (9-2711)				<b>9-57906-4</b> <b>REC-75</b> <b>AUG 22 1974</b>		
Dissemination Record of Attached Report				Notations		
Agency	1 Xerox copy USSS			[Signature]		
Request Recd.						
Date Fwd.	8/28/74					
How Fwd.						
By	WN/SM					

- A\* -

COVER PAGE

70 AUG 29 1974

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Copy to: 1 - United States Attorney, Miami, Florida  
(Attention: Assistant U. S. Attorney CLEMENS HAGGLUND)  
1 - United States Secret Service, Miami, Florida  
(Attention: Special Agent [REDACTED])

Report of: [REDACTED] Office: Miami, Florida  
Date: 8/19/74

Field Office File #: 9-2711 Bureau File #: 9-57906

Title: UNKNOWN SUBJECT, also known as  
Pedro Rebozo;  
CHARLES G. REBOZO -  
VICTIM

Character: EXTORTION

## Synopsis:

"BEBE" CHARLES G. REBOZO received an anonymous letter with a Queens, New York return address on 6/11/74. AUSA, Miami deferred prosecutive opinion pending investigation to possibly identify the unknown subject. The FBI Laboratory examination disclosed no latent fingerprints. The FBI Laboratory examination of handwriting samples set forth. AUSA instructed no further investigation be conducted.

- C -

DETAILS:

AT MIAMI, FLORIDA

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED  
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED  
DATE 11-9-99 BY SP5-JC/TMP  
436303 445364

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 6/17/74

Mr. CHARLES G. REBOZO "Bebe", interviewed at the Key Biscayne Bank and Trust Company, 95 West Mc Intyre Street, made available an anonymous letter he had received through the mail at the bank on this date.

Mr. REBOZO said he did not know the identity of the sender nor did the address in Queens, New York, mean anything to him.

Mr. REBOZO said he considered this to be a "nut letter" and that the receipt of this letter did not cause him to fear for his life.

Mr. REBOZO said he has an elderly Uncle named PEDRO REBOZO who lives in Miami but that he was absolutely certain that there was no connection between this letter signed by someone using the name PEDRO REBOZO, and his Uncle.

Set forth below are the contents of the envelope and anonymous letter:

Interviewed on 6/11/74 at Key Biscayne, Florida File # Miami 9-2711  
by SA [REDACTED] jjl **b7c** Date dictated 6/17/74

- 2 -

FROM

PEDRO REBOZO  
137-3379 HOWARD BEACH  
QUEENS, N.Y.CITY

POSTMASTER

PLEASE  
DELIVER  
DONT KNOW  
STREET NUMBER  
THANK YOU



PERSONAL + CONFIDENTIAL

TO MR. BEBE REBOZO  
KEY BISCAINE  
FLORIDA

(PRES. NIXON'S  
FRIEND)

P.S. BE SURE + LEAVE YOUR MONEY TO AN  
IMPEACHED PRESIDENT + HIS LESBIAN  
DAUGHTER, TRISCIA. AS YOU LAY DYING, THINK  
OF YOUR STUPIDITY.

DEAR MR. REBOZO

A SUM OF MONEY (1 MILLION  
DOLLARS) HAS BEEN DEPOSITED  
TO A NUMBERED SWISS ACCOUNT  
(LARGE BANK). THIS MONEY IS TO  
BE PAID TO A PROFESSIONAL HIRED  
& DEADLY KILLER, WHEN HE KILLS  
YOU. NO MATTER WHAT PRECAUTIONS  
YOU TAKE, NO MATTER WHERE  
YOU HIDE, NO MATTER HOW MANY  
BODY GUARDS YOU WILL HAVE  
AROUND YOU, HE WILL FIND YOU.  
HE IS ALREADY IN YOUR AREA,  
+ MAKING SURE THAT NOTHING  
WILL PREVENT HIS MISSION TO  
KILL YOU. HIS "CONTRACTS" ARE ALWAYS  
SUCCESSFUL, FOR HIS SCOPE RIFLE  
EXPERIENCE IS PHENOMENAL. HE  
IS DOING THIS FOR MANY YEARS, BUT,  
WHERE HE LIVES, NO ONE SUSPECTS HIM.  
HE HAS NO RECORD, NO FINGERPRINTS ETC.

GOOD BYE, YOU ARE A DEAD FOOL  
ALL BECAUSE NIXON "USED" YOU.

MM 9-2711

The facts of this case were presented to Assistant United States Attorney CLEMENS HAGGLUND on June 11, 1974. Assistant United States Attorney HAGGLUND said it did not appear that the contents of the letter constituted a threat within the scope of the extortion statute; however, he would defer prosecutive opinion pending investigation to identify the unknown subject.

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 7/1/74

PEDRO REBOZO was interviewed at his residence in Queens, New York, by [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] who identified themselves as Special Agents of the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI). **b7c**

REBOZO was shown a xerox copy of an extortionate letter sent to "Mr. Bebe Rebozo (Pres. Nixon's Friend), Key Biscayne, Florida" in which a demand was made for one million dollars. The envelope enclosing this letter bore a return address of "Pedro Rebozo, 137-33 79 Howard Beach, Queens, N. Y. City".

PEDRO REBOZO stated that he did not send this letter nor did he have any idea who might have written the letter.

PEDRO REBOZO then voluntarily wrote a duplicate of the letter in question in order that his handwriting could be compared with that of the writer.

The handwriting sample provided by PEDRO REBOZO was given to the Agents who forwarded it to the FBI Laboratory in Washington, D. C. for handwriting analysis.

Interviewed on 6/27/74 at Queens, New York File # NY 9-6543

SAS [REDACTED]  
by AND [REDACTED]

**b7c**  
- 6 -  
Date dictated 7/1/74

FROM

PEDRO REBOZO  
134-33 49 ST HOWARD BEACH  
QUEENS NY CITY

POSTMASTER

PLEASE

DELIVER

DONT KNOW

STREET NUMBER

TYANR ADV

PERSONAL CONFIDENTIAL

TO MR. BEBE REBOZO (PRES. NIXON)  
KEY BISCAYNE FRIEND  
FLORIDA

S.A.

WITNESS

FBI.

New York City


67C



P.S. BE SURE X LET : NO RA MONEY,  
IMPEACHED PRESIDENT X HIS LESBIAN  
DAUGHTER, TRISCIA. ASXOO LAY DYING TH  
OF YOUR STUPIDITY

DEAR MR. REBOZO

A SUM OF MONEY (MILLION  
DOLLARS) HAS BEEN DEPOSITED  
TO A NUMBERED SWISS ACCOUNT  
(LARGE BANK) THIS MONEY IS TO  
BE PAID TO A PROFESSIONAL  
DEADLY KILLER. WHEN HE KILLS  
YOU. NO MATTER WHAT PRECAUTIONS  
YOU TAKE NO MATTER WHERE  
YOU HIDE, NO MATTER HOW MANY  
BODY GUARDS YOU WILL HAVE  
AROUND YOU HE WILL FIND YOU.  
HE IS ALREADY IN YOUR AREA,  
X MAKING SURE THAT NOTHING  
WILL PREVENT HIS MISSION TO  
KILL YOU. HIS "CONTRACTS" ARE ALWAYS  
SUCCESSFUL, FOR HIS SCOPE RIFLE  
EXPERIENCE IS PHENOMENAL. HE  
IS DOING THIS FOR MANY YEARS, BUT  
WHERE HE LIVES, NO ONE SUSPECTS HIM.  
HE HAS NO RECORD. NO FINGERPRINTS ETC.  
GOOD BYE. YOU ARE A DEAD FOOL  
ALL BECAUSE - X NIXON "USED" YOU,  
[REDACTED] New York City.



FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION  
Washington, D. C. 20537

REPORT  
of the  
IDENTIFICATION DIVISION  
LATENT FINGERPRINT SECTION

YOUR FILE NO. 9-2711  
FBI FILE NO. 9-57906  
LATENT CASE NO. A-92448

July 17, 1974

TO: SAC, Miami

RE: UNSUB., AKA  
PEDRO ROBOZO;  
CHARLES G. REBOZO-VICTIM  
EXTORTION

REFERENCE: Airtel 6-17-74  
EXAMINATION REQUESTED BY: Miami  
SPECIMENS: Q1 and Q2, envelope and letter

Listed Q specimens further described in  
separate Laboratory report.

No latent prints of value developed on  
enclosed specimens.

Enc (2)

*Clarence M. Kelley*  
Clarence M. Kelley, Director

THIS REPORT IS FURNISHED FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY



**FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION  
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20535**

To: SAC, New York (9-6543)  
  
Re: UNSUB aka Pedro Rebozo;  
CHARLES G. REBOZO - VICTIM;  
EXTORTION

Date: July 23, 1974  
FBI File No. 9-57906  
Lab. No. D-740715033 JB

Specimens received 7/12/74

K1 Sheet of paper bearing known writings of  
PEDRO REBOZO

**Result of examination:**

It was not determined whether PEDRO REBOZO, K1, prepared any of the questioned hand printing on the envelope and letter, Q1 and Q2, that were previously submitted in this case because of the presence of hand printing characteristics in the questioned handwriting that do not appear in the known handwriting specimen, K1. It was found that significant differences in hand printing characteristics were noted which suggest that the questioned envelope and letter may have been prepared by someone other than REBOZO, K1.

MM 9-2711

The results of the above investigation were furnished to Assistant United States Attorney CLEMENS HAGGLUND on August 14, 1974. Assistant United States Attorney HAGGLUND advised that since the investigation did not identify the unknown subject and since all logical investigation had been completed, noting there were no additional threats, he instructed that no further investigation be conducted.

**FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION**  
**FREEDOM OF INFORMATION/PRIVACY ACTS SECTION**



**SUBJECT: CHARLES G. REBOZO**

**FILE NUMBER: HQ 29-79722**

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

REPORTING OFFICE <b>NEW YORK</b>	OFFICE OF ORIGIN <b>NEW YORK</b>	DATE <b>11/17/76</b>	INVESTIGATIVE PERIOD <b>7/12 - 11/11/76</b>
TITLE OF CASE <b>[REDACTED] aka</b> <b>Hudson Valley National Bank,</b> <b>35 East Grassy Sprain Road,</b> <b>Yonkers, New York;</b> <b>CHARLES G. REBOZO, aka Bebe 1A, 5</b> <b>Charles Rebozzo;</b> <b>[REDACTED]</b>		REPORT MADE BY <b>SA [REDACTED] b7C</b>	TYPED BY <b>mgH</b>
REFERENCE <b>New York airtels to Bureau 7/12/76, 8/31/76,</b> <b>and 10/6/76</b>		CHARACTER OF CASE <b>BANK FRAUD AND EMBEZZLEMENT</b>	

-P-

## ADMINISTRATIVE

It should be noted that referenced airtels were sent under title of [REDACTED] aka; [REDACTED] aka, ECT", NY file (NR 179-469) (Bufile 179-636). A separate communication is being submitted for NY file 179-469 placing this case in a closed status, until the investigation indicates subjects [REDACTED] may have had knowledge of the REBOZO and [REDACTED] loans, which they may have planned to exploit in obtaining further credit from the HUDSON VALLEY NATIONAL BANK (HVNBN). For the information of the Bureau, it should be noted [REDACTED] were convicted for ECT violations and [REDACTED] was convicted in SDNY for BE&F. This investigation also indicated [REDACTED] and a

ACCOMPLISHMENTS CLAIMED					<input type="checkbox"/> NONE	ACQUIT- TALS	CASE HAS BEEN:
CONVIC.	PRETRIAL DIVERSION	FUG.	FINES	SAVINGS	RECOVERIES		
							PENDING OVER ONE YEAR <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO
							PENDING PROSECUTION OVER SIX MONTHS <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO
APPROVED <b>[Signature]</b>						SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE	
COPIES MADE:						DO NOT WRITE IN SPACES BELOW	
3-Bureau (29- (1-Bufile 179-636)						29- 79722	
2-USA, SDNY (ATTN: AUSA BART M. SCHWARTZ)						EX-109	
3-New York (29-13951) (1-NY 179-469)						NOV 22 1976	
Dissemination Record of Attached Report						Notations	
Agency						DATA PROC	
Request Recd.							
Date Fwd.							
How Fwd.							
By							

COVER PAGE

NY 29-13951

b7C. [REDACTED] had been involved in further ECT loanshark activities, using funds obtained through fraud from the [REDACTED] of the First Westchester National Bank in New Rochelle, New York.

In connection with the BE&F conviction of [REDACTED], the evidence developed revealed that [REDACTED] had recently purchased the [REDACTED] from the [REDACTED] of [REDACTED] who was the [REDACTED] of PEAT, MARWICK and MITCHELL, who audited the HVNB in September, 1973. While the audit was being conducted, [REDACTED] submitted to the bank the loan application and the financial statement of [REDACTED] which he [REDACTED] had prepared. This loan was declined by the [REDACTED] but the [REDACTED] approved the loan. [REDACTED] further compounded his cognizance that the stated purpose of the loan was false by certifying a check that indicated that the loan funds were to be used for purposes other than stated in the application.

It was at the time that the \$25,000 portion of the REBOZO loan was delinquent that [REDACTED] application was submitted by the [REDACTED] during an audit of the HVNB. While there is no direct evidence that [REDACTED] had any knowledge of any of the loans to [REDACTED] or REBOZO, the manner in which [REDACTED] procured the loan and disbursed the loan funds indicated that he, [REDACTED] enjoyed a favorable position with the HVNB that cannot be justified by his financial status, especially in view of the fact that [REDACTED] loan was delinquent until the investigation was commenced by this Bureau.

This report makes reference to the examination of the REBOZO and [REDACTED] loans in the light of the foregoing investigation and background explanation.

Extended investigative period in this case is due to inclusion of investigation conducted under previously mentioned ECT case.

#### LEADS

#### NEW YORK DIVISION

#### New Rochelle Office

1. Will interview [REDACTED] NY, concerning the CHARLES REBOZO and [REDACTED] loans with respect to the transfer of the \$225,000 to Precision Valve

COVER PAGE

NY 29-13951

LEADS (CONT'D)

NEW ROCHELLE OFFICE

b7C Valve Corporation and to determine whether this loan was reviewed by the Bank Examiners and the Auditors from Peat, Marwick and Mitchell, (PMM).

2. Will interview [REDACTED] for PMM, regarding his knowledge of this loan and to determine whether all of the records were available for review at the time that this file was reviewed.

3. Will obtain from the Hudson Valley National Bank (HVNB) a copy of the audit made by PMM in September, 1973.

4. Will review the records of the Comptroller of Currency regarding the examination of the bank records of HVNB, as related to the loans of REBOZO, [REDACTED] and PVC, after the appropriate approval has been obtained and records made available through the local office.

5. Will consider interviews of [REDACTED] and REBOZO upon the completion of the above investigation.

6. Will consider interviewing [REDACTED] as to any knowledge on part of [REDACTED] regarding improprieties in the REBOZO and [REDACTED] loans which made [REDACTED] fraudulent loan acceptable.

COVER PAGE

-C\*



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Copy to: 2-USA, SDNY (ATTN: AUSA BART M. SCHWARTZ)

b7C Report of: SA [REDACTED]

Office NEW YORK, NEW YORK

Date: 11/17/76

Field Office File #: NR 29-13951

Bureau File #:

Title:

b7C HUDSON VALLEY NATIONAL BANK  
35 EAST GRASSY SPRAIN ROAD, YONKERS, NEW YORK;  
CHARLES G. REBOZO;

Character: BANK FRAUD AND EMBEZZLEMENT

b7C Synopsis:

Records of Hudson Valley National Bank (HVNB) reveal CHARLES REBOZO, after consulting with [REDACTED] for HVNB, and [REDACTED], procured a loan in the amount of \$225,000 from HVNB on 11/29/72. \$25,000 was for 9 months to 8/29/73; the \$200,000 was for one year to 11/29/73. Loan application stated loan was to purchase real estate. On 11/29/76, HVNB sent a Transfer Draft of \$225,000 to REBOZO, in care of Key Biscayne National Bank. On same date, 11/29/72, REBOZO sent a Transfer Draft to Precision Vale Corp. (PVC) for \$225,000. Records indicate payment of interest by REBOZO was delinquent. Loan satisfied by a payment of \$229,399.46 to HVNB approximately one month after the due date by the PVC. Officer at HVNB stated PVC bought the loan. Records reveal that [REDACTED] during this period had loans varying between \$160,000 to \$210,000. The records of HVNB indicate that PVC and [REDACTED] are considered one credit entity. Former officers advised that HVNB's capitalization at the time of the above loan was \$2,500,000 which placed a limitation of \$250,000 on any individual loan. Records made available under subpoena are incomplete.

-P-

DETAILS

The details of this investigation are being set forth on the following pages:

NR 29-13951

This investigation was instituted to determine whether the loan made to CHARLES G. REBOZO contained false statements in the original application and to ascertain if the funds had been misapplied to circumvent banking regulations.

It has been determined that the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Certificate for the Hudson Valley National Bank, Yonkers, New York, is 20693-8.

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

9/13/76

Date of transcription

WILLIAM L. WEIL, Vice President of the Hudson Valley National Bank, 35 East Grassy Sprain Road, Yonkers, New York, was served with a subpoena by Special Agents [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] on September 2, 1976, at 10:40 AM at their headquarters on Grassy Sprain Road, Yonkers, New York. **b7c**

The subpoena called for the production of records on September 9, 1976 of any and all documents relating [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] inclusive, as well as [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] inclusive. **b3 Rule (d) FR crim p**

Mr. WEIL stated that at the present time, he is the highest officer in the bank inasmuch as the position of president of the bank had been vacated and they are expecting a new president of the bank. When served with the subpoena he telephoned the General Counsel for the bank, Mr. WILLIAM GRIFFEN for directions and Mr. WEIL, after talking to Mr. GRIFFEN, stated that Mr. GRIFFEN would be in contact with Special Agent [REDACTED] after the Labor Day weekend. **b7c**

Interviewed on 9/2/76 at Yonkers, New York

NR 29-13951

File # NR 179-469

by SAS [REDACTED]

AND

/WJW/tfb **b7c**

Date dictated

9/8/76

NR 29-13951

NR 179-469

WJW:tfb

1

On September 10, 1976, Mr. WILLIAM L. WEIL, Vice President of the Hudson Valley National Bank, 35 East Grassy Sprain Road, Yonkers, New York, in response to a grand jury Subpoena Duces Tecum, dated August 31, 1976, furnished the records of the bank regarding [REDACTED] as set forth on the following two pages of the letter dated September 10, 1976, signed by WILLIAM L. WEIL, Vice President.

b3  
Rule  
6(e)  
FRCA  
P

HUDSON VALLEY  
NATIONAL BANK

35 East Grassy Sprain Road  
Yonkers, N. Y. 10710

September 10, 1976

Special Agent [REDACTED]  
Federal Bureau of Investigation

67C

Dear Mr. [REDACTED]

As directed by Grand Jury Subpoena Duces Tecum dated August 31, 1976, we transfer to you herewith the following items regarding [REDACTED]

1. File #1 containing the following:

b3  
Rule  
6(e)  
FR  
CRIM  
P

[REDACTED]

2. File #2 containing the following:

[REDACTED]

HUDSON VALLEY  
NATIONAL BANK

35 East Grassy Sprain Road  
Yonkers, N. Y. 10710

Special Agent [REDACTED]  
Federal Bureau of Investigation

Page 2

September 10, 1976

63  
rule 6(e)

FR crim  
P

Please acknowledge receipt of the above items by signing a copy of this letter.

Yours very truly,

*William L. Weil*

William L. Weil  
Vice President

WLW/bl

NR 29-13951

NR 179-469

WJW:tfb

1

b7C  
The investigation in this case had indicated that a loan in the amount of approximately \$250,000.00 had been made to CHARLES BE BE REBOZO during 1972, which was known to both the president of the bank at that time, Mr. WALTER HIGGINS and the loan officer, Mr. [REDACTED]. Neither the president of the bank, Mr. HIGGINS nor Mr. [REDACTED] had at any time examined the application for this loan or the details as to the purpose of the loan and the information received at the time was that the loan had been handled entirely by [REDACTED], a [REDACTED] of the bank and [REDACTED] for the Hudson Valley National Bank. A letter had been addressed to the United States Attorney, Southern District of New York, to the attention of Mr. WILSON, advising of the possibility that a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1014 may have been involved in the issuance of this loan.

On August 30, 1976, Assistant United States Attorney Mr. T. BARRY KINGHAM, Southern District of New York, discussed the contents of the letter of July 12, 1976, which had been addressed to United States Attorney's Office, In Care Of Mr. GEORGE WILSON and after reviewing the facts indicated that it would seem to be proper that this loan application by Mr. REBOZO be reviewed in view of the unusual circumstances under which it was handled in the bank. b7C

This subpoena was received in the New Rochelle Resident Agency of the New York Office on September 2 and was served on the same date by Special Agents [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

NR 29-13951

NR 179-469

WJW:tfb

2

b7c  
An examination of these records under the heading of offering sheet entitled "Hudson Valley National Bank Loan Offering Sheet" contains the name CHARLES G. REBOZO. It shows the date of offering as of November 28, 1972, a loan in the amount of two hundred thousand dollars for one year and twenty-five thousand dollars for nine months, which was approved by the Board of Directors on November 21, 1972, and signed by [REDACTED] W. J. HIGGINS and (illegible) [REDACTED]

The second item in this file under the offering sheet had the loan application dated November 24, 1972, signed by CHARLES G. REBOZO of 490 Bay Lane, Key Biscayne, Florida, stated that he had applied for a personal credit of two hundred twenty-five thousand dollars, two hundred thousand dollars being for a period of one year and the second for a period of nine months being the twenty-five thousand dollar loan.

The purpose of the loan in this application was stated "Real Estate Purchase". He gave his date of birth as November 17, 1912. He furnished his previous address as 315 McIntire Street, Key Biscayne, Florida. The loan application did not contain the details of his employment or bank references, but was noted that this information was contained on separate statements.

b7c  
Under the section of the file entitled correspondents, there was notes indicating that the rate of interest was seven and one-half percent or seven and one-quarter percent. A note indicating that it came from the desk of [REDACTED] stated as follows: [REDACTED] we will be lucky if Pres NIXON doesn't hear about this" and was initialed in illegible manner and the word quarterly stated after this.



NR 29-13951  
NR 179-469  
WJW:tfb  
3

A further review of these records failed to reveal the actual loan agreement that was signed by the bank and the applicant, CHARLES REBOZO.

Further review of these records failed to indicate whether or not or on what date the loans were actually paid, namely the two hundred thousand loan for one year and the twenty-five thousand dollar loan for a period of nine months.

These missing items were brought to the attention of Mr. WEIL on September 13, 1975.

The records further revealed a credit ticket from the First National City Bank, Money Transfer Department, 111 Wall Street, New York, New York, was received on November 29, 1972, by the Hudson Valley National Bank, Yonkers, New York, for their credit. A transfer of two hundred twenty-five thousand dollars from the Miami, Florida account of C. G. REBOZO and the Key Biscayne Bank of funds to the New York City Hudson Valley National Bank, Yonkers, New York, for the credit account of the Precision Valve Corp. It is also noted that two hundred twenty-five thousand dollars had been sent on the same date, namely November 29, 1972 from the Hudson Valley National Bank to the Key Biscayne Bank for the account of CHARLES G. RELOZO at Miami, Florida.

10. This file also contains a letter dated December 8, 1972, copy of which is set forth on the following page from [REDACTED] to the file and the subject is C. G. REBOZO loan. This memorandum states that because of the association of these individuals [REDACTED] and C. G. REBOZO) that the files regarding this loan be kept in the strictest confidence and that they only be shown on the need to know basis. The explanation for this confidentiality is not explained in the file.

NR 29-13951

NR 179-469

WJW:tfb

4

A review of the list of delinquent loans covering the period from July 24, 1972 until July 13, 1975, failed to indicate that this loan to CHARLES G. REBOZO had been at any time listed on the list of delinquent loans.

WJW:dml

1.

NR 29-13951

NR 179-469

b7C  
On September 29, 1976, Mr. WILLIAM L. WEIL, Vice President, Hudson Valley National Bank, 36 East Grassy Sprain Road, Yonkers, New York, addressed a letter to SA [REDACTED] advising that the loan to CHARLES (BEBE) REBOZZO had been satisfied by the alleged purchase of the loan from the Hudson Valley National Bank by the Precision Valve Corporation for \$229,399.46. There was attached to this letter a copy of the check drawn upon the Hudson Valley National Bank, dated December 27, 1973, payable to the Hudson Valley National Bank, in the amount of \$229,399.46. The signature on this check, which is xeroxed, is illegible and the endorsement on the back of the check indicates a stamp dated December 27, 1973, with instructions to pay to the order of the Hudson Valley National Bank. The rear of the check contains several lines of a typed or printed matter which is entirely illegible on the copy which was sent to this office.

On October 13, 1976, Mr. WEIL was recontacted concerning the above check and his statement that apparently the Precision Valve Corporation had purchased the loan from the bank of CHARLES REBOZZO.

It was brought to Mr. WEIL's attention that there was not present in any of the documents which he furnished in response to a subpoena duces tecum [REDACTED]

b3  
Rule 6(e)  
FRCA 19 P  
Mr. WEIL stated that he did not believe that a Liability Ledger had been kept and he indicated that possibly at that time when the bank was in its initial stages, the loan department did not keep Liability Ledgers. It was mentioned to Mr. WEIL that a Liability Ledger is a well-established standard procedure in practically every bank and was expected generally by the bank examiners and could not be considered an innovative form. He advised he would attempt to locate this Liability Ledger showing the final disposition of the CHARLES REBOZZO loan. It was further noted that there had been no copies or records furnished to indicate that the loan agreement and all of its liability had been transferred from the bank as a result of the receipt of this check of \$229,399.46, and that the bank had relieved REBOZZO of all its liability to the bank. It was further noted for the benefit of Mr. WEIL that it appeared unusual that a personal loan could be satisfied by a corporation

WJW:dml

2.

NR 29-13951

NR 179-469

check. Mr. WEIL stated that he would attempt to locate further documentation to support the statement that Precision Valve Corporation had purchased the loan of CHARLES REBOZZO.

b7C

On October 12, 1976, AUSA BART SCHWARTZ, SDNY, was advised that REBOZZO, in applying for the loan, had indicated on November 22, 1972, that the purpose of the loan was to purchase real estate. It was also brought to Mr. SCHWARTZ's attention that on the date that the check making payment on the loan to CHARLES REBOZZO on November 29, 1972, was issued to REBOZZO payable at the Key Biscayne Bank and Trust Company, in the amount of \$225,000, the Hudson Valley National Bank received a money transfer from REBOZZO and the Key Biscayne Bank, payable to the account of the Precision Valve Corporation in the amount of \$225,000. Mr. SCHWARTZ was also advised that a former officer of the bank, [REDACTED] had stated that it was believed that the largest loan that the bank could issue at that time, based upon its capitalization, was \$250,000, and that it was his personal recollection that prior to the issuance of the loan to CHARLES REBOZZO, a loan in the amount of approximately \$150,000 had been made to [REDACTED] in the amount of approximately \$150,000. [REDACTED] is believed to be the [REDACTED] of the Precision Valve Corporation and in view of the developments which followed the lending of the \$225,000 to REBOZZO and the immediate transferring of these funds to the Precision Valve Corporation, the possibility existed that the bank was circumventing the limitations of its lending power based upon its capitalization. It also appeared that the statement as to the purpose of the loan was not true.

b7C

Mr. SCHWARTZ advised that consideration would be given to the desirability of issuing a subpoena duces tecum to ascertain whether or not [REDACTED] and/or the Precision Valve Corporation had procured loans in the amount of approximately \$150,000, which were running concurrent with the loan to REBOZZO.

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 11-11-76

The Dun and Bradstreet Million Dollar Directory was reviewed at the Library of Moody's Investors Library 99 Church Street regarding the PRECISION VALVE CORP and the Directory which listed the address of the PRECISION VALVE CORP as 700 Nepperhan Avenue, Yonkers, New York set forth the following names as Officers and Directors of the corporation:

ROBERT H. ABPLANALP	President
JOSEPH C. PIZZURRO	Executive Vice President
WILLIAM E. GRIFFIN	Secretary
ANGELO P. FERRARA	Vice President and Treasurer

The Directory reported the sales as \$20,000,000.00 and the number of employees, as 2,000. It was reported as a New York Corporation.

A search of the public records of the Security and Exchange Commission, at 26 Federal Plaza, New York, New York failed to locate any record of the PRECISION VALVE CORP.

Interviewed on 11-10-76 at New York, New York File # NR 29-13951  
by SA [REDACTED] b7C Date dictated 11-11-76

NR 29-13951

It is to be noted that the letterhead of the Hudson Valley National Bank, 35 East Grassy Sprain Road, Yonkers, New York, listed the following persons as Directors on the letter dated September 28, 1976, addressed to the Federal Bureau of Investigation by WILLIAM L. WEIL, Vice President:

SIDNEY O. THOMPSON	Chairman
IRVING ROSNER	Vice Chairman
WILLIAM E. GRIFFIN	Secretary
ANGELO P. FERRARA	
ROYDEN A. LETSEN	
DR. JAMES F. X. O'ROURKE	
GEORGE J. PACCHIANA	
JOHN A. PRATT, JR.	President and Chief Executive Officer

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 10/25/76

↑  
b7c  
↓

WALTER J. HIGGINS, [REDACTED], New York, was interviewed at his residence.

Mr. HIGGINS stated that he formerly was Chairman of the Board of the Hudson Valley National Bank, at Grassy Sprain Road, Yonkers, New York, and later became President of the bank until the time that he resigned from this position and disposed of his stock in the bank.

Mr. HIGGINS advised that he recalled the time when [REDACTED], a member of the Board of Directors and the [REDACTED] for the Hudson Valley National Bank, had proposed the loan of CHARLES REBOZO at a board of directors meeting in the amount of two hundred twenty-five thousand dollars (\$225,000.00).

He recalled the financial statement of REBOZO indicated that he was worth in excess of one million dollars and that he was friendly with [REDACTED] and both were considered to be friends of President RICHARD M. NIXON. Mr. HIGGINS stated that he was aware that [REDACTED] and the other members of Precision Valve Company had purchased large quantities of stock in the Hudson Valley National Bank, and so the risk was considered a profitable loan on the part of this bank.

Mr. HIGGINS advised that [REDACTED] was not an officer or a member of the board, but he had been told by [REDACTED] that he [REDACTED] had power of attorney to represent [REDACTED] in any of the bank's business. Additionally, [REDACTED], a [REDACTED] in the Precision Valve Company also held a considerable amount of stock in the Hudson Valley National Bank.

Mr. HIGGINS stated that it was his best recollection that at the time the loan was applied for by REBOZO, the capitalization of the bank was two million five hundred thousand dollars and inasmuch as the bank was limited to lending sums up to ten percent of that amount, namely two hundred fifty thousand dollars, this loan to REBOZO was close to the bank's lending limit for any one loan.

Interviewed on 10/13/76 at [REDACTED], New York File # NR 29-13951  
NR 179-469  
by SA [REDACTED] tfb **b7c** Date dictated 10/19/76

b7c  
↓  
According to Mr. HIGGINS, he had never examined the loan application allegedly signed by CHARLES REBOZO, that he stated from examining the copy of the loan that it appeared that some of the handwriting on the face of the loan application was written in the hand of [REDACTED]

After the loan was approved, HIGGINS stated that sometime thereafter he was made president of the bank. At the time the loan was made, the president of the bank was a [REDACTED] who may have actually handled some of the details of this loan agreement.

HIGGINS stated that he was unaware that on the same date, namely November 29, 1972, that the bank transmitted \$225,000.00 to the Key Biscayne Bank of Miami, Florida, for the account of CHARLES REBOZO, that REBOZO on the very same date, transferred to the account of the Precision Valve Corporation at the Hudson Valley National Bank the same amount namely \$225,000.00.

Mr. HIGGINS recalled that the bank had some difficulty in collecting the interest on this loan from REBOZO, and that he had been pressing [REDACTED] to have the loan taken off the books view of the various disclosures that were being made public, arising out of the Watergate investigations.

[REDACTED] because of his close association with [REDACTED] for whom he has a power of attorney to represent [REDACTED] in all bank matters was reluctant to have the loan removed prior to its term, namely nine months for the twenty-five thousand dollars and twelve months for the two hundred thousand dollars, inasmuch as it would hurt the relations of the bank with the [REDACTED] people.

Mr. HIGGINS stated that he was told that the loan was off the books, but was never apprised of the manner in which the bank was repaid on the loan by REBOZO. Mr. HIGGINS was shown a photocopy of a check that had been furnished by Mr. WEIL of the Hudson Valley National Bank which was a check dated December 27, 1973, in the amount of two hundred twenty-nine thousand three hundred ninety-nine dollars and forty-six cents (\$229,399.46) payable to the Hudson Valley National Bank, drawn upon the account of the Precision Valve Corporation on their account in the Hudson Valley National Bank.



NR 29-13951  
NR 179-469

Mr. HIGGINS stated that he never saw this check and was unaware that this is the check which the bank now claims was used to purchase the loan of REBOZO. He stated unequivocally that he never examined the account after he had learned that the loan had been satisfied.

Mr. HIGGINS volunteered that he felt that the auditors of the bank namely Pete, Marwick, Mitchell and Company, during the time this bank was on the loan, should have details concerning the negotiations of this loan.

Mr. HIGGINS stated that he was aware that there was at least one loan, if not more than one loan, made to [REDACTED] and the Precision Valve Company, and he believed that if in reality this was the loan to [REDACTED] that the makers of the loan had attempted to circumvent the limitations placed upon the bank as to the size of the loan being limited to ten percent of the capitalization.

67  
C

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 10/19/76

b7C [REDACTED]  
Hudson Valley National Bank, Grassy Sprain Road, Yonkers, New York, voluntarily appeared at the New Rochelle Office of the FBI.

[REDACTED] stated that he has had several years of experience with the [REDACTED] and is acquainted with the procedures in banks related to the procurement and the handling of loans.

[REDACTED] advised that he has very little to do with the loan to CHARLES G. REBOZZO, but that he had learned about it generally towards the end of the period that the loan was due, inasmuch as he had been requested by Mr. WALTER HIGGINS, President of the bank at that time, to arrange for the payment of the loan on the due date which was believed to be some time in the latter part of November or the early part of December, 1973.

[REDACTED] advised that he believed that at the time that this loan was made in the latter part of November, 1972, for a period of one year, that the actual limit of any individual loan was between \$225,000 to \$250,000, based upon the capitalization of the bank at that particular time. He stated that it might have been less, but he did not know the exact figure appearing.

[REDACTED] advised that he knew that Mr. REBOZZO was very close to [REDACTED] and it was his recollection at the time of the interview that [REDACTED] or the Precision Valve Corporation had loans amounting to approximately \$150,000. He advised that it was his recollection that the interest payments on the loans to REBOZZO were delinquent and that there should have been a list showing that this loan had been delinquent in the interest payment. He stated that he recalled that in his discussions with the President of the bank, Mr. WALTER J. HIGGINS, that he had been requested to send out due notices on the two loans of \$200,000 and \$25,000 each and that

Interviewed on 10/7/76 at New Rochelle, New York File # NR 29-13951  
NR 179-469  
b7C SA [REDACTED]:dml Date dictated 10/13/76

NR 29-13951  
NR 179-469

b7C  
he was attempting to ascertain whether or not the loan had been renewed. [REDACTED] stated that he had no knowledge that the money which REBOZZO received was spent for anything other than the stated purpose of the loan. He advised, however, that in view of the fact that he was not present when the loan application was made and signed allegedly by REBOZZO, he could not state that the loan application had been made completely by REBOZZO.

[REDACTED] stated that he had no specific knowledge as to how the loan was satisfied but it was his recollection that a draft had been received by the bank, just prior to the time that the bank examiners appeared at the bank, which allegedly satisfied this loan.

According to [REDACTED] it was the practice of the bank at the time that this loan was being handled to maintain a Liability Ledger showing the date and the amount of the loan, together with the amounts of interest, and the interest paid on the loan, together with the date showing the date that the loan was satisfied.

[REDACTED] stated that he knows that this loan was not handled in the ordinary manner through the Loan Department but was maintained either by the [REDACTED] or [REDACTED] who is the [REDACTED]

WJW:mgh  
NR 29-13951

-1-

On October 29, 1976, Assistant United States Attorney (AUSA) BART M. SCHWARTZ, Southern District of New York, (SDNY), made available records of the Hudson Valley National Bank for review.

An examination of the Loan Folder for [REDACTED] b7C  
[REDACTED] in care of [REDACTED] New York,  
revealed the following transactions:

<u>DATE</u>	<u>LOAN TRANSACTIONS</u>
8/22/72	90 day note for \$150,000.00 at Prime Rate. Approved by [REDACTED] and WALTER HIGGINS*
10/24/72	Note for additional \$10,000.00.
4/23/73	90 day note for \$160,000.00 at Prime Rate 6%. Approved by [REDACTED] and W. J. HIGGINS.
7/25/73	Liability Ledger Sheet indicates loan paid.
8/23/73	90 day note for \$210,000.00 at 8% loan approved by Board (notation on loan offering sheet with initials FGS. Loan Offering Sheet noted that money disbursed by Wire Transfer through Irving Trust Company. Payee not stated. Copy of transfer sheet/ <sup>not</sup> in file.
11/21/73	90 day loan of \$210,000.00 renewed at 8%. Approved by Board per FGS.
3/4/74 and 5/20/74	Liability Ledger indicates loans were renewed plus an additional \$40,000.00 making the loan \$250,000.00.
11/19/74	Liability Ledger indicates that \$250,000 was still on the books but there are no further notations on the ledger indicating whether it was paid or continued.

\*These notations of approval were noted on the bottom of the loan offering sheet. The records contain no copies of the actual notes signed by [REDACTED] or the applications for the loans which would have noted the purpose of the loan.

The HUDSON VALLEY NATIONAL BANK (HVNB) records reflect a loan to the Precision Valve Corp. on May 4, 1974, of \$170,000 for the purpose of purchasing an airplane for \$470,000 at 11.5%. The file indicated that PRECISION VALVE CORPORATION (PVC) had

WJW:mgh  
NR 29-13951  
-2-

guaranteed a loan in the amount of \$75,000.00 for the ALUMINUM GENERAL CORPORATION which made PVC liable for \$75,000, in addition to the \$170,000 for a total of \$245,000.00.

The file noted that the Security National Bank was participating in this loan for an additional \$300,000 and the HVNB gave a promissory note to the Security National Bank guaranteeing this loan.

b7c It was noted that this file contained the financial statements of PVC and [REDACTED] and a memorandum in this file indicated that PVC [REDACTED] were being considered one credit risk, according to [REDACTED]

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

# Memorandum

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI

DATE: 1/29/78

FROM : SAC, NEW ROCHELLE (NR 29-13951) (C)

SUBJECT: [REDACTED] aka;  
CHARLES G. REBOZO, aka Bebe;

[REDACTED]  
BF & E  
(OO: NR)

b7C

On 11/29/77, AUSA ALAN KAUFMAN reviewed the facts presented by SA [REDACTED]

Investigation revealed that [REDACTED] and Precision Valve Corp. (PVC) had been purchasing lands from the Robert Matheson Estate in close proximity to the land purchased by THOMAS WAKEFIELD, allegedly for CHARLES REBOZO.

KAUFMAN was of the opinion that since investigation could not corroborate the relationship between REBOZO and WAKEFIELD or WAKEFIELD and [REDACTED] and due to the fact that the Statute of Limitations had expired two days before, that prosecution against subjects could not be pursued.

Accordingly, this case is being closed by the New Rochelle Office.

EX-135

REC-92

29-79722-22

2/17/78 NO TICKET -  
NO FOLDER AND DISSEM.  
PREVIOUSLY INDICATED

- ② - Bureau
- 1 - New Rochelle

SH:nbl  
(3)



356

U.S. DEPT. OF JUSTICE  
FEB 12 1 34 PM '78

INVESTIGATIVE  
CRIMINAL

22 FEB 2 1978

Buy U.S. Savings Bonds Regularly on the Payroll Savings Plan

SAC, New Rochelle (NR 29-13951)

2/28/78

Director, FBI (29-79722)

1 - FOF

b7C  
[REDACTED] AKA;  
BF&E  
OO: NR

Reference New Rochelle letter to Director, 1/30/78.

Submit a closing LHM since this is a known subject case. Indicate on cover communication that LHM is being disseminated to U. S. Attorney.

JPB:sm1  
(4) smf

NOTE: Dissemination to Criminal Division, U. S. Department of Justice, to be considered upon receipt of LHM.


(29)

EX-130  
REC-36

29-79722-3

23 MAR 1 1978

Assoc. Dir. \_\_\_\_\_  
Dep. AD Adm. \_\_\_\_\_  
Dep. AD Inv. \_\_\_\_\_  
Asst. Dir.:  
Adm. Serv. \_\_\_\_\_  
Crim. Inv. \_\_\_\_\_  
Fin. & Pers. \_\_\_\_\_  
Ident. \_\_\_\_\_  
Intell. \_\_\_\_\_  
Laboratory \_\_\_\_\_  
Legal Coun. \_\_\_\_\_  
Plan. & Insp. \_\_\_\_\_  
Rec. Mgnt. \_\_\_\_\_  
Spec. Inv. \_\_\_\_\_  
Tech. Serv. \_\_\_\_\_  
Training \_\_\_\_\_  
Public Affs. Off. \_\_\_\_\_  
Telephone Rm. \_\_\_\_\_  
Director's Sec'y \_\_\_\_\_



MAIL ROOM ☒

FBI

## TRANSMIT VIA:

☐ Teletype  
☐ Facsimile  
☒ Airtel

## PRECEDENCE:

☐ Immediate  
☐ Priority  
☐ Routine

## CLASSIFICATION:

☐ TOP SECRET  
☐ SECRET  
☐ CONFIDENTIAL  
☐ E F T O  
☐ CLEAR

Date 3/9/78

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (29-79722)

FROM: SAC, NEW ROCHELLE (NR 29-13951) (C)

SUBJECT: [REDACTED] aka;  
 CHARLES G. REBOZO aka;

BF&E  
 (OO: NR)

ReBulet, dated 2/28/78.

Enclosed for the Bureau if the original and four  
 copies of an LHM suitable for dissemination in captioned  
 case.

Enclosed LHM will be disseminated to USA, SDNY by  
 the New Rochelle Office.

2 LHM's DED  
 2-Bureau (Encls. 5)  
 1-New Rochelle

SH:ap  
 (4)

LHM

2-CRIM

3/13/78

JPP/AM

EX-111

REC-6 29-79722-4

MAR 11 1978

Approved: 356 3/9/78

Transmitted (Number) (Time)

Per





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

New Rochelle, New York 10801

March 9, 1978

In Reply, Please Refer to  
File No.

b7C

[REDACTED]  
Charles G. Rebozo;

[REDACTED]  
Bank Fraud and Embezzlement

This investigation was instituted to determine whether the loan made to Charles G. Rebozo by the Hudson Valley National Bank on November 27, 1972, contained false statement with regard to the intended use of the proceeds of the loan.

Investigation showed that on November 27, 1972, Thomas Wakefield purchased \$379,000 of real estate in Dade County, Florida. Wakefield claimed he was acting as a trustee for Charles G. Rebozo at the time of the purchase.

In November, 1977, Assistant United States Attorney Alan R. Kaufman advised that no violation of federal statutes existed and accordingly investigation was closed.

[REDACTED] of  
Hudson Valley National Bank.

Charles G. Rebozo is a personal friend of former President Richard M. Nixon.

b7C  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] of Hudson Valley National Bank.

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

ENCLOSURE

4

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION  
FREEDOM OF INFORMATION/PRIVACY ACTS SECTION



**SUBJECT: CHARLES G. REBOZO**

**FILE NUMBER: HQ 62-117480**

FBI

Date: 1/21/77

Transmit the following in \_\_\_\_\_  
(Type in plaintext or code)Via AIRTEL \_\_\_\_\_  
(Precedence)

Assoc. Dir.	_____
Dep. Dir.	_____
Dep. AD Inv.	_____
Asst. Dir.:	_____
Adm. Serv.	_____
Ext. Affairs	_____
Fin. & Pers.	_____
Gen. Inv.	_____
Ident.	_____
Intell.	_____
Legal Coun.	_____
Plan. & Insp.	_____
Rec. Mgt.	_____
S. & T. Serv.	_____
Spec. Inv.	_____
Training	_____
Telephone Rm.	_____
Director's Sec'y	_____

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI  
ATTN: LEGAL COUNSEL DIVISION

FROM: SAC, WFO (62-0) <sup>NR</sup>

CHARLES G. REBOZO v.  
THE WASHINGTON POST COMPANY  
(U.S.D.C., Southern District of Florida)  
CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 73-1728-Civ. SMA

Enclosed for the Bureau are three copies of a Deposition Subpoena To Testify Or Produce Documents Or Things commanding the appearance of CLARENCE KELLEY or his designated Custodian of Records at the offices of counsel for defendant on 2/22/77 with documents referred to in the schedule attached to the subpoena.

One copy of the enclosed subpoena was served at WFO on 1/19/77. WFO did not accept the travel and attendance fees listed on the subpoena.

WFO indices contain numerous references to the names CHARLES G. REBOZO, [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] WFO indices <sup>see 106</sup> negative regarding the shares of IBM stock.

ENCLOSURE

② - Bureau (Enc. 3) <sup>checked</sup> DE-30  
1 - WFO  
DFH:mkg

(3)

9 MAR 9 1977

Approved: \_\_\_\_\_  
Special Agent in Charge

Sent \_\_\_\_\_ M Per \_\_\_\_\_

97 JUL 12 1977

WFO 62-0

WFO will furnish one copy of the subpoena to the United States Attorney, Washington, D.C. by separate communication.

No further action being taken by WFO.

## United States District Court

FOR THE  
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIACIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 73-1728-Civ. SMA  
(USDC for the Southern  
District of Florida)

CHARLES G. REBOZO

vs. THE WASHINGTON POST  
COMPANY

F.S. 77-0020

TO Federal Bureau of Investigation  
Pennsylvania Ave. between 9th and 10th Streets, N.W.  
Washington, D.C.By Clarence Kelley, Director, or another designated Custodian of Record  
YOU ARE COMMANDED to appear at the offices of Williams, Connolly & Califano  
1000 Hill Building, N.W., in the city of Washington, D.C.,  
on the 22nd day February, 1977, at 9:30 o'clock A.M. to testify  
on behalf of the Defendant THE WASHINGTON POST COMPANYat the taking of a deposition in the above entitled action pending in the United States District Court  
for the District of Columbia and bring with you' at that time  
all documents referred to in Schedule A which is attached hereto and  
incorporated by reference.

Dated January 19, 1977.

WILLIAMS, CONNOLLY & CALIFANO  
Michael E. Tigar, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

1000 Hill Building, N.W.

Address Washington, D.C. 20006  
(202) 331-5000

JAMES E. DAVEY

By

Mary B. Deavers  
Clerk.  
Deputy Clerk.Any subpoenaed organization not a party to this suit is hereby admonished pursuant to Rule 30 (b)  
(6), Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, to file a designation with the court specifying one or more  
officers, directors, or managing agents, or other persons who consent to testify on its behalf, and  
shall set forth, for each person designated, the matters on which he will testify or produce documents  
or things. The persons so designated shall testify as to matters known or reasonably available to the  
organization.1. Strike the words "and bring with you" unless the subpoena is to require the production of documents or tangible things, in which case  
the documents and things should be designated in the blank space provided for that purpose. If testimony by an organization representative or  
designee is requested, describe with reasonable particularity the matters on which examination is requested.

## RETURN ON SERVICE

Received this subpoena at

on

and on

at

served it on the within named

by delivering a copy to h and tendering to h

the fee for one day's attendance and the mileage  
allowed by law.

Dated:

January 19, 1977

Service Fees

Travel \$ 5.00  
Services 20.00

By

Total \$ 25.00

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a  
day of 19

this

\* Fees and mileage need not be tendered to the witness upon service of a subpoena issued in behalf of the United States or an officer or agency  
thereof. 28 USC 1826.

Note.—Affidavit required only if service is made by a person other than a United States Marshal or his deputy.

SCHEDULE A

All "writings" and all "recordings," as those terms are defined in Federal Rule of Evidence 1001, including, but not limited to, any reports or memoranda of Prue C.

Clinkscapes, relating to:

- (a) A loan on or about July 30, 1968 of approximately \$195,000 by the Key Biscayne Bank, Key Biscayne, Florida, to Charles L. Lewis, who then resided at 815 Fairfield Road, N.W., Atlanta, Georgia.
- (b) The 900 shares of IBM stock (nine 100-share certificates numbered AE00614 through AE00622, inclusive) pledged as collateral for the aforementioned loan.
- (c) The theft of the aforementioned stock certificates from the vaults of E. F. Hutton & Co., New York, N.Y., by Jacob (Jake the Mace) Maislich, Joseph (Joe Black) Lamattina, Daniel Mondavano, and Martin Fox.

10/28/68

AIRTEL

TO: SAC, NEW YORK (87-53227)  
FROM: SAC, MIAMI (87-26526) (P)  
RE: UNSUB;  
DISAPPEARANCE OF FIFTYFIVE HUNDRED  
SHARES OF INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINE  
COMMON STOCK FROM E. F. HUTTON AND CO.,  
SEPT. 24, 1968  
ITSP - MAJOR THEFT  
(00 - NY)

67C Re Miami airtel, 10/8/68, and Miami letter, 10/9/68.

On 10/28/68, CHARLES G. REBOZO, President, Bank of Key Biscayne, Key Biscayne, Fla., telephonically advised SA [REDACTED] that during a recent contact from a representative of an insurance company investigating captioned loss, REBOZO was informed that a list of the stocks lost or missing in captioned theft had been circulated. That list apparently had appeared in an unidentified New York City newspaper and reportedly set forth that a total of 10,900 shares of stock in the IBM Company as well as other miscellaneous companies had disappeared or were missing.

New York requested to advise whether or not the exact loss in captioned matter has been established; whether or not any such list of the missing IBM and other stocks has been published, in newspaper or elsewhere, and advise Miami of pertinent developments to date in order that appropriate informants and sources in the Miami area may be contacted and alerted.

2 - New York  
① - Miami  
PCC:jkj  
(3)

Search  
Indexed  
Serialized  
Filed

ENCLOSURE

62-117480 -

~~62-117480 - 453~~

~~87-26526 - 5~~

FBI

Date: March 4, 1977

Transmit the following in \_\_\_\_\_

(Type in plaintext or code)

AIRTEL

Via

DECLASSIFIED BY SP5/SPON \_\_\_\_\_ (Precedence) 2/25/00

FOIPA #436303

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (87-100142)

FROM: SAC, MIAMI (87-26326) (P)

67c  
 FUGITIVE;  
 ET AL  
 ITSP - MT;  
 BOND DEFAULT  
 00BS

CHARLES E. REBOZO

Re Miami tel to Bureau 1/20/77, and subpoena submitted by law offices of WILLIAMS and CONNOLLY dated 2/15/77.

Enclosed for the Bureau are two Xerox copies each of Miami airtel to New York 10/8/68 captioned, "UNSUB; DISAPPEARANCE OF FIFTYFIVE HUNDRED SHARES OF INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINE COMMON STOCK FROM E. F. HUTTON AND CO., SEPT. 24, 1968, ITSP - MAJOR THEFT," (OO: New York), and Miami airtel to New York 10/28/68, under same caption.

SI-126

This file has been reviewed by former SA [redacted]. The review of the file indicates that all material requested in the subpoena has been furnished to the Bureau in paper form or other communication. During early stages of this matter, New York was OO and it is unknown if the information contained in the two enclosures was furnished to the Bureau. If the Bureau has not been previously furnished enclosed information, Miami is now furnishing copies of these communications.

- ② - Bureau (Enc. 4)  
 1 - Miami  
 WFM/neb  
 (3)

4 - ENCLOSURES

Records - File attached in  
 62-117482

Approved: [Signature]

Special Agent in Charge

Sent

Assoc. Dir.	_____
Dep. AD Adm.	_____
Dep. AD Inv.	_____
Asst. Dir.:	
Adm. Serv.	_____
Ext. Affairs	_____
Ident.	_____
Intell.	_____
Legal Coun.	_____
Plan. & Insp.	_____
Rec. Mgmt.	_____
Tech. Serv.	_____
Training	_____
Telephone Rm.	_____
Director's Sec'y	_____

ORIGINAL FILED IN 87-100142-43

62-117482-  
 NOT RECORDED  
 JUL 12 1977



10/8/68

AIRTEL

TO: SAC, NEW YORK (87-53227)  
FROM: SAC, MIAMI (87-26526) (P)  
RE: UNSUB;  
DISAPPEARANCE OF FIFTYFIVE HUNDRED  
SHARES OF INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINE  
COMMON STOCK FROM E. F. HUTTON AND CO.,  
SEPT. 24, 1968  
ITSP - MAJOR THEFT  
(OO - NY)

Re Miami tel, dated 10/1/68.

As set forth in referenced Miami tel, CHARLES G. "BEEB" REBOZO, President, Bank of Key Biscayne, Key Biscayne, Fla., is a close personal friend and confidant of Presidential Candidate RICHARD M. NIXON and a contact of the Miami Office. Mr. NIXON frequently vacations at Key Biscayne, Fla., and reportedly maintains close contact with REBOZO at all times. REBOZO was most cooperative in furnishing information set forth in referenced tel, both from the bank's records and from his personal memory inasmuch as REBOZO had personally taken part in the inquiries concerning CHARLES L. LEWIS prior to approval of \$195,000 loan to LEWIS.

Although completely cooperative and congenial, when interviewed on 10/3/68, Mr. REBOZO expressed some concern and considerable interest concerning the reported disappearance of 5,500 shares of IBM stock from E. F. Hutton and Co., NYC, in particular whether or not the 900 shares currently being held by his bank as collateral for the loan granted to LEWIS might possibly be a part of the missing IBM stock from victim company. Mr. REBOZO expressed a definite feeling that inasmuch as the nine replacement IBM stock certificates had been forwarded to him by the transfer agent for the IBM Co., it appears most unlikely that same could represent any stolen or missing IBM stock. At the conclusion of the interview with him, he

2 - New York  
1 - Atlantic (87-15174) (Info)  
① - Miami  
PCC:jkj  
(4)

ENCLOSURE

62-117480-

Searched  
Indexed  
Serialized  
Filed

10/15/68  
10-15

MM 87-26526

b7c  
respectfully requested that he be advised of any further indications developed during the Bureau's investigation of this matter which might indicate that CHARLES L. LEWIS was involved in any way with the missing or stolen IBM stock from E. F. Hutton and Company and especially if there is any indication that the 900 shares of IBM stock held as collateral by his bank was a part of the missing IBM stock.

For information NY, on 10/8/68, REBOZO telephonically contacted SA [REDACTED] of the NY Office, inquiring as to whether or not there were any further developments concerning LEWIS or the missing IBM common stock which might be of some interest to him and his bank. When advised that the Miami Office was not aware of any developments since the interview with him on 10/3/68, REBOZO informed SA [REDACTED] that since that interview he has "called in" the loan to CHARLES L. LEWIS. REBOZO said that even though he feels there is nothing wrong with the 900 shares of stock being held by his bank, he had called in this loan to LEWIS simply because he did not want his bank involved in any matters of this type in which there is the least bit of suspicion. At the time REBOZO was assured that any additional information which might concern or be of interest to him and his bank regarding captioned matter would be discussed promptly with him upon receipt of same.

It is noted that referenced NYC tel, dated 10/2/68, reflects captioned theft is a Major Theft, but does not indicate that information concerning the disappearance of this IBM stock has been furnished to the Bureau. Further, note that referenced Miami tel summarizing information furnished by REBOZO was

MM 87-26526

furnished only to Atlanta and your office. In view of the close friendship between Mr. REBOZO and Mr. NIXON and undoubtedly with other prominent people in Government circles, it is possible that Mr. REBOZO might make some inquiries on his own concerning this matter, possibly some inquiry of the Bureau. For this reason, it is suggested that your office keep the Bureau informed of all pertinent developments re captioned matter. For the same reason, it is requested that you advise Miami of any pertinent information subsequently developed concerning CHARLES L. LEWIS or the IBM stock in LEWIS' name which is currently being held as collateral for the loan made by the Key Biscayne Bank to LEWIS, especially information which establishes that the 500 shares of IBM stock held by the Key Biscayne Bank is not a part of the IBM stock missing from E. F. Hutton and Company.

# Memorandum

TO : Mr. Mintz

FROM : D. W. Moore *DJ*

SUBJECT: CHARLES G. REDOZO vs  
THE WASHINGTON POST COMPANY  
U.S.D.C., SOUTHERN DISTRICT  
OF FLORIDA, CIVIL ACTION FILE  
#73-1728-CIYSMA

1 - Mr. Min  
1 - Mr. Moore  
1 - Mr. Ricks (Enc. 32)  
DATE: 3-11-77  
1 - Mr. [REDACTED]  
1 - Mr. [REDACTED]  
1 - Mr. Farley

Asst. Dir. \_\_\_\_\_  
Dep. AD Adm. \_\_\_\_\_  
Dep. AD Inv. \_\_\_\_\_  
Asst. Dir.: \_\_\_\_\_  
Adm. Serv. \_\_\_\_\_  
Ext. Affairs \_\_\_\_\_  
Fin. & Pers. \_\_\_\_\_  
Gen. Inv. \_\_\_\_\_  
Ident. \_\_\_\_\_  
Inspection \_\_\_\_\_  
Intell. \_\_\_\_\_  
Laboratory \_\_\_\_\_  
Legal Coun. \_\_\_\_\_  
Plan. & Eval. \_\_\_\_\_  
Rec. Mgnt. \_\_\_\_\_  
Spec. Inv. \_\_\_\_\_  
Training \_\_\_\_\_  
Telephone Rm. \_\_\_\_\_  
Director Sec'y \_\_\_\_\_

PURPOSE: To furnish to Legal Counsel Division excised and unexcised portions of Bureau files pertinent to captioned suit in response to request as set out in Legal Counsel letter dated 2-28-77. That letter advised regarding issuance of a subpoena duces tecum for the Director, FBI, or another designated Custodian of Records, returnable on 3-16-77.

SYNOPSIS AND DETAILS: A review of files pertinent to this matter was effected by the Special Case Review Unit (SCRU) and was determined that the substantive case, out of which captioned suit evolved, is that entitled "Maurice Feinberg; Joseph Anthony LaMattina, aka Fugitive, et al, ITSP-Conspiracy, OO: New York, Bufile 87-102021."

The Bureau file consists of two volumes reflecting investigation conducted primarily by the Miami and New York Divisions. This file was thoroughly reviewed and it was found to contain all of the information as requested in schedule A of above referenced subpoena with the following exceptions:

With regard to paragraph 1, part D, there is no record in the Bureau file to indicate that one George H. Riley, Jr., Insurance Agent, was interviewed or contacted by the FBI at any time concerning this matter. The file does reflect; however, that other insurance officials were interviewed and these have been set forth.

With regard to paragraph 1, part F, there is no record in the Bureau file to indicate that Donald Nixon was interviewed or contacted by the FBI at any time concerning this matter. Supervisor [REDACTED] Desk 13, Los Angeles Division, advised

TJF:hjc  
(7)

REC-106 62-117480-2

JUN 27 1977  
CONTINUED OVER



97 JUN 30 1977

Buy U.S. Savings Bonds Regularly on the Payroll Savings Plan

FBI/DOJ

# Memorandum

TO : Mr. Mintz

FROM : D. W. Moor *AJ/K*

SUBJECT: CHARLES G. REDOZO vs  
THE WASHINGTON POST COMPANY  
U.S.D.C., SOUTHERN DISTRICT  
OF FLORIDA, CIVIL ACTION FILE  
#73-1728-CIVSMA

1 - Mr. Min  
1 - Mr. Moore  
1 - Mr. Ricks (Enc. 32)  
DATE: 3-11-77  
1 - Mr. [REDACTED]  
1 - Mr. [REDACTED] b7C  
1 - Mr. Farley

Asst. Dir.:  
Dep. AD Adm. \_\_\_\_\_  
Dep. AD Inv. \_\_\_\_\_  
Asst. Dir.:  
Adm. Serv. \_\_\_\_\_  
Ext. Affairs \_\_\_\_\_  
Fin. & Pers. \_\_\_\_\_  
Gen. Inv. \_\_\_\_\_  
Ident. \_\_\_\_\_  
Inspection \_\_\_\_\_  
Intell. \_\_\_\_\_  
Laboratory \_\_\_\_\_  
Legal Coun. \_\_\_\_\_  
Plan. & Eval. \_\_\_\_\_  
Rec. Mgnt. \_\_\_\_\_  
Spec. Inv. \_\_\_\_\_  
Training \_\_\_\_\_  
Telephone Rm. \_\_\_\_\_  
Director Sec'y \_\_\_\_\_

PURPOSE: To furnish to Legal Counsel Division excised and unexcised portions of Bureau files pertinent to captioned suit in response to request as set out in Legal Counsel letter dated 2-28-77. That letter advised regarding issuance of a subpoena duces tecum for the Director, FBI, or another designated Custodian of Records, returnable on 3-16-77.

*b7C*  
SYNOPSIS AND DETAILS: A review of files pertinent to this matter was effected by the Special Case Review Unit (SCRU) and was determined that the substantive case, out of which captioned suit evolved, is that entitled "[REDACTED]" aka Fugitive, et al, ITSP-Conspiracy, OO: New York, Bufile 87-102621."

The Bureau file consists of two volumes reflecting investigation conducted primarily by the Miami and New York Divisions. This file was thoroughly reviewed and it was found to contain all of the information as requested in schedule A of above referenced subpoena with the following exceptions:

With regard to paragraph 1, part D, there is no record in the Bureau file to indicate that one George H. Riley, Jr., Insurance Agent, was interviewed or contacted by the FBI at any time concerning this matter. The file does reflect; however, that other insurance officials were interviewed and these have been set forth.

REC-106

62-117480-2

*b7C*  
With regard to paragraph 1, part F, there is no record in the Bureau file to indicate that Donald Nixon was interviewed or contacted by the FBI at any time concerning this matter. Supervisor [REDACTED] Desk 13, Los Angeles Division, advised

TJF:hjc  
(7)

JUN 27 1977

CONTINUED OVER

*[Handwritten signature]*  
FBI/DOJ



97 JUN 30 1977

Buy U.S. Savings Bonds Regularly on the Payroll Savings Plan

*Previous rel*

Memo to Mr. Mintz

telephonically on 3-9-77 that a review of Los Angeles indices and files failed to reflect that Donald Nixon was contacted by the FBI concerning this matter.

It is noted that the attached material contains no material reflecting any investigation by or interviews with either FDIC officials or officials of the Organized Crime Section of the Department.

Attached hereto are the following copies as requested by Legal Counsel Division for dissemination:

<sup>12</sup> 8 copies (~~116~~ pages each) unexcised portion of above-named Bureau file 87-102621.

<sup>S3 58</sup> 8 copies (~~49~~ pages each) excised portion of above-named Bureau file 87-102621.

8 copies (4 pages each) unexcised portion of Miami file 87-26526, representing serials not contained in Bureau file.

8 copies (3 pages each) excised portion of Miami file 87-26526, representing serials not contained in Bureau file.

RECOMMENDATION: Legal Counsel Division will review attached documents with Departmental Attorney Steven Weglian to determine the ultimate scope of production and subsequently disseminate appropriate number of copies to the U.S. Attorney, Southern District of Florida, as well as the Miami Division.

APPROVED:

Director.....	Adm. Serv.....	Legal Coun.....
Assoc. Dir.....	Ext. Affairs.....	Plan. & Insp.....
Dep. AD Adm.....	Fin. & Pers.....	Rec. Mgt.....
Dep. AD Inv.....	Gen. Inv.....	S. & T. Serv.....
	Ident.....	Spec. Inv.....
	Intell.....	Training.....



UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

# Memorandum

TO : Director  
Federal Bureau of Investigation

FROM : *REC* Benjamin R. Civiletti  
*101* Assistant Attorney General  
*TK* Criminal Division

DATE: *7-17* 1977  
BRC:ALH:SMW:gvh

SUBJECT: Charles G. Rebozo vs. The  
Washington Post Company  
U.S.D.C. Southern District  
of Florida; Civil Action File  
#73-1728 CIVSMA

I am advised that the Bureau has received two subpoenas duces tecum in the above captioned civil law suit. You or your duly authorized representative should appear in response to the first subpoena at the offices of Williams & Connally, 1000 Hill Building, N.W., Washington, D. C. 20006 on April 14, 1977 at 9:30 A.M. Also, if the lawyers for the Washington Post are not agreeable to receiving all FBI relevant records, both Headquarters and your Miami Field Office, on April 14, 1977, then you or your duly authorized representative should appear at the offices of Steel, Hector, & Davis, 1400 Southeast First National Bank Building, Miami, Florida, on April 20, 1977 at 9:30 A.M.

The records to be provided are those requested by the subpoenas and which are contained in the two groupings entitled "excised copy" previously furnished this Division for review. The first group contains copies of relevant Bureau documents found in the headquarters file. The second group, consisting of only three pages, contains relevant documents found in your Miami Field Office file which were not in the headquarters file.

You or your duly authorized representative are authorized to give such testimony as may be required to authenticate the documents. (See 5 U.S.C. § 301 and 28 C.F.R. §§ 16.23, 16.24.) You are also authorized to advise the parties that the Bureau has no record of any interview with either George H. Riley, Jr. or Donald Nixon which pertains to the captioned matter.

REC-106

62-117480-3  
JUN 27 1977

LEGAL COUNSEL



97 JUN 30 1977

Buy U.S. Savings Bonds Regularly on the Payroll Savings Plan

Should you be ordered to produce any other documents from the files of the Department of Justice or to give any other testimony in regard to this matter, such demand shall be referred to the Department of Justice for appropriate instructions. (See 28 C.F.R. §§ 16.25, 16.26.)

Should you desire such, Attorney Stephen M. Weglian of the Division will accompany your representative for the April 14, 1977 return in Washington, D. C. If a Miami return becomes necessary, your Miami Field Office should contact Assistant United States Attorney Robert Andrews, Southern District of Florida, should you desire an attorney to accompany your representative.



UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

# Memorandum

Assoc. Dir. \_\_\_\_\_  
Dep. AD Adm. \_\_\_\_\_  
Dep. AD Inv. \_\_\_\_\_  
Asst. Dir.: \_\_\_\_\_  
Adm. Serv. \_\_\_\_\_  
Crim. Inv. \_\_\_\_\_  
Fin. & Pers. \_\_\_\_\_  
Ident. \_\_\_\_\_  
Intell. \_\_\_\_\_  
Laboratory \_\_\_\_\_  
Legal Coun. \_\_\_\_\_  
Plan. & Insp. \_\_\_\_\_  
Rec. Mgnt. \_\_\_\_\_  
Spec. Inv. \_\_\_\_\_  
Tech. Servs. \_\_\_\_\_  
Training \_\_\_\_\_  
Public Affs. Off. \_\_\_\_\_  
Telephone Rm. \_\_\_\_\_  
Director's Sec'y \_\_\_\_\_

TO : Assistant Director  
Records Management Division

DATE: 6/17/77

FROM : Legal Counsel *[Signature]*

SUBJECT: CHARLES G. REBOZO v. THE WASHINGTON POST  
COMPANY, (U.S.D.C., S.D. FLA.)  
CIVIL ACTION NO. 73-1728-CIV. SMA

PURPOSE: To advise regarding status of subpoena in above-captioned matter, and to have a copy of the deposition given by Mr. Thomas J. Farley, Special Case Review Unit, together with copies of subpoenaed documents (excised and unexcised) and relevant background communications placed into the appropriate file.

SYNOPSIS AND DETAILS: On 4/14/77, Mr. Thomas J. Farley, Special Case Review Unit, Special Investigative Division, accompanied by Departmental Attorney Stephen M. Weglian and Bob A. Ricks, Legal Counsel Division, appeared at the offices of Williams and Connolly, 1000 Hill Building, N.W., Washington, D.C., in response to a subpoena in the above-captioned matter. Mr. Farley gave a deposition in response to questions posed by Attorneys Kevin T. Baine, of Williams and Connolly, representing the Washington Post Company, and Alan G. Greer, of the law offices of Frates, Floyd, Pearson, Stewart, Richman, and Greer, representing Charles G. Rebozo.

Pursuant to instructions from the Department, Mr. Farley gave only information necessary to authenticate documents, which had been requested by the Washington Post Company concerning the Bureau's investigation of the theft of nine hundred shares of IBM stock from the office of E. F. Hutton and Company, New York, New York.

Enclosures *ENCLOSURE*  
*EXL BEHIND FILE*

- 1 - Mr. Gallagher
- 1 - Mr. Mintz
- 1 - Legal Research Unit

BAR:las  
(4)

REC-106

62-117480-4

JUN 27 1977

LEGAL COUNSEL



Memorandum to Assistant Director  
Records Management Division  
Re: Charles G. Rebozo v. The Washington  
Post Company (U.S.D.C., S.D., Fla.)  
Civil Action No. 73-1728-Civ. SMA

On 5/12/77, a copy of the deposition given by Mr. Farley was received by the Criminal Investigative Division from George M. Poe, Jr., Reporting Services, 711 14th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. This copy was provided in order that appropriate corrections could be made by Mr. Farley and Mr. Ricks. Following a careful review of the deposition, corrections were made, and these corrections with proper explanations were furnished to Stephen M. Weglian for transmittal.

On 6/1/77, Stephen M. Weglian transmitted the corrections made by Mr. Farley to George M. Poe, Jr., and indicated that the Government would waive the signature on the original document.

Enclosed for the Records Management Division are the following:

- (1) Copy of Deposition of Thomas J. Farley taken April 14, 1977, at Washington, D. C., consisting of 67 pages, with cover letter dated 5/11/77, from Court Reporter, George M. Poe, Jr.
- (2) Corrections made to above transcript signed by Thomas J. Farley and Bob A. Ricks.
- (3) Letter from Benjamin R. Civiletti, Assistant Attorney General, Criminal Division, to the Director, dated 4/8/77, captioned as above.
- (4) Letter from Kevin T. Baine to Robert Andrews, Esq., dated 3/14/77, captioned as above.
- (5) Memo from D. W. Moore, Jr. to Mr. Mintz dated 3/11/77, captioned as above.
- (6) Copy of excised documents furnished from Bureau files and Miami files pursuant to subpoena.

Memorandum to Assistant Director  
Records Management Division  
Re: Charles G. Rebozo v. The Washington  
Post Company (U.S.D.C., S.D., Fla.)  
Civil Action No. 73-1728-Civ. SMA

(7) Copy of unexcised documents from which material originated.

(8) Copy of letter from Alfred L. Hantman, Chief, General Crimes Section, Criminal Division of the Department to George M. Poe, Jr., dated 6/1/77.

RECOMMENDATION: That the Records Management Division file the above enclosures in file number 62-117480. //

*JCF*

APPROVED: _____	Adm. Serv. _____	Legal Coun. _____
Director _____	Crim. Inv. _____	Plan. & Insp. _____
Assoc. Dir. _____	Fin. & Pers. _____	Rec. Mgmt. _____
Dep. AD Adm. _____	Ident. _____	Spec. Inv. _____
Dep. AD Inv. _____	Intell. _____	Tech. Servs. _____
	Liaison _____	Training _____
		Off. of Cong. & Public Affairs _____

XXXXXX  
XXXXXX  
XXXXXXFEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION  
FOIPA  
DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

- ☐ Deletions were made pursuant to the exemptions indicated below with no segregable material available for release to you.

SECTION 552SECTION 552a☐ (b) (1)☐ (b) (7) (A)☐ (d) (5)☐ (b) (2)☐ (b) (7) (B)☐ (j) (2)☐ (b) (3)☐ (b) (7) (C)☐ (k) (1)☐ (b) (7) (D)☐ (k) (2)☐ (b) (7) (E)☐ (k) (3)☐ (b) (7) (F)☐ (k) (4)☐ (b) (4)☐ (b) (8)☐ (k) (5)☐ (b) (5)☐ (b) (9)☐ (k) (6)☐ (b) (6)☐ (k) (7)

- ☐ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to the subject of your request or the subject of your request is listed in the title only.

- ☐ Documents originated with another Government Agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

Page(s) contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following consultation with the other agency(ies).

Page(s) withheld inasmuch as a final release determination cannot be made. You will be advised as to the disposition at a later date.

Page(s) were not considered for release as they are duplicative of:

Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s):

- ☒ For your information: This Enclosure Behind File (EBF), which is filed separately from the main file, is not available for review at the present time. When it is located, it will be reviewed for disclosure and any information subject to release will be made available to you as promptly as possible.

- ☒ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages: HQ 62-117480-4 EBF

XXXXXX  
XXXXXX  
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX  
X Deleted Page(s) X  
X No Duplication Fee X  
X For This Page X  
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

**FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION**  
**FREEDOM OF INFORMATION/PRIVACY ACTS SECTION**



**SUBJECT: CHARLES G. REBOZO**

**FILE NUMBER: HQ 62-0-81654**

XXXXXXX  
XXXXXXX  
XXXXXXXFEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION  
FOIPA  
DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

- ☐ Deletions were made pursuant to the exemptions indicated below with no segregable material available for release to you.

SECTION 552SECTION 552a☐ (b) (1)☐ (b) (7) (A)☐ (d) (5)☐ (b) (2)☐ (b) (7) (B)☐ (j) (2)☐ (b) (3)☐ (b) (7) (C)☐ (k) (1)☐ (b) (7) (D)☐ (k) (2)☐ (b) (7) (E)☐ (k) (3)☐ (b) (7) (F)☐ (k) (4)☐ (b) (4)☐ (b) (8)☐ (k) (5)☐ (b) (5)☐ (b) (9)☐ (k) (6)☐ (b) (6)☐ (k) (7)

- ☐ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to the subject of your request or the subject of your request is listed in the title only.

- ☐ Documents originated with another Government Agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

Page(s) contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following consultation with the other agency(ies).

Page(s) withheld inasmuch as a final release determination cannot be made. You will be advised as to the disposition at a later date.

1 Page(s) were not considered for release as they are duplicative of: **MM 62-6333-3**

Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s):

- ☐ For your information:

- ☒ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages: **HQ 62-0-81654, Cover Page**

XXXXXXX  
XXXXXXX  
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX  
X Deleted Page(s) X  
X No Duplication Fee X  
X For This Page X  
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

XXXXXXX  
XXXXXXX  
XXXXXXXFEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION  
FOIPA  
DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

- ☐ Deletions were made pursuant to the exemptions indicated below with no segregable material available for release to you.

SECTION 552SECTION 552a☐ (b) (1)☐ (b) (7) (A)☐ (d) (5)☐ (b) (2)☐ (b) (7) (B)☐ (j) (2)☐ (b) (3)☐ (b) (7) (C)☐ (k) (1)☐ (b) (7) (D)☐ (k) (2)☐ (b) (7) (E)☐ (k) (3)☐ (b) (7) (F)☐ (k) (4)☐ (b) (4)☐ (b) (8)☐ (k) (5)☐ (b) (5)☐ (b) (9)☐ (k) (6)☐ (b) (6)☐ (k) (7)

- ☐ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to the subject of your request or the subject of your request is listed in the title only.

- ☐ Documents originated with another Government Agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

Page(s) contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following consultation with the other agency(ies).

Page(s) withheld inasmuch as a final release determination cannot be made. You will be advised as to the disposition at a later date.

2 Page(s) were not considered for release as they are duplicative of: **MM 62-6333-2**

Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s):

- ☐ For your information:

☒ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages: **HQ 62-0-81654 Enclosure**

XXXXXXX  
XXXXXXX  
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX  
X Deleted Page(s) X  
X No Duplication Fee X  
X For This Page X  
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

**FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION**  
**FREEDOM OF INFORMATION/PRIVACY ACTS SECTION**



**SUBJECT: CHARLES G. REBOZO**

**FILE NUMBER: Cross References from**  
**HQ 62-115554**



October 26, 1973  
GENERAL INVESTIGATIVE DIVISION

The attached relates to the information received by Special Agent in Charge (SAC) Whittaker of the Miami Office on 6/18/73, from the President's personal friend, Charles G. Rebozo, that in 1969 Rebozo received a total of \$100,000 from a representative of Howard Hughes to be applied to Republican campaign activities. Rebozo has stated he maintained this \$100,000 in a safe-deposit box at his bank in Miami and never turned it over to the Republican Party. Internal Revenue Service (IRS) has conducted investigation concerning this matter and we informed IRS Headquarters of the contact by Rebozo with SAC Whittaker in a letter dated 8/1/73.

The attached request for interview of SAC Whittaker by Scott Armstrong of the Ervin Committee is in the nature of a request for information from FBI files and should have been made in writing to the Attorney General by the Ervin Committee. Armstrong is not one of the 5 Ervin Committee members authorized to secure information from the FBI. If you approve, Congressional Services Office will orally advise the Ervin Committee request to interview SAC Whittaker should be made in writing to the Acting Attorney General in line with established procedures. SAC Whittaker will then be advised accordingly.

It is noted Rebozo contacted SAC Whittaker 6/18/73, which is subsequent to the establishment of the Special Prosecution Force on 5/25/73. That office has consistently taken the position that any information developed by the FBI after that date should not be furnished to the Ervin Committee. We have followed these guidelines to the present time.

CAN:cjm:amm

*Refused Administrator  
Deputy Chief Counsel  
10-29-73  
amb  
K  
Whittaker  
advised 10/24/73  
WAF*

NR014 MM PLAIN

600PM URGENT 10-25-73 AXN

TO DIRECTOR

ATTENTION: GENERAL INVESTIGATIVE DIVISION  
FROM SAC, MIAMI 80-1299 2 PAGES

CONTACT BY MR. SCOTT ARMSTRONG, SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE ON  
PRESIDENTIAL CAMPAIGN ACTIVITIES.

REFERENCE MIAMI CALL TO ASSISTANT DIRECTOR GEBHARDT  
THIS DATE.

TODAY AT THE REQUEST OF MR. SCOTT ARMSTRONG OF THE  
SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE ON PRESIDENTIAL CAMPAIGN ACTIVITIES,  
WASHINGTON, D. C., I TELEPHONICALLY CONTACTED HIM.

MR. ARMSTRONG ADVISED HE HAD BEEN TO MIAMI AND  
INTERVIEWED MR. C. G. (BEBE) REBOZO IN CONNECTION WITH ONE  
HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS HE HAD RECEIVED SEVERAL YEARS AGO.  
HE STATED MR. REBOZO HAD RELATED THE DETAILS OF HIS HANDLING OF  
THE MONEY AND INCLUDED HIS CALLING ME TO HIS BANK FOR PURPOSES  
OF EXAMINING THE MONEY. MR. ARMSTRONG STATED HE MERELY WISHED  
END PAGE ONE

REC-102

62-115554-42

NOV 1 1973

5 NOV 2 1973

Assoc. Dir.	
Asst. Dir.:	
Admin.	
Comp. Syst.	
Ext. Affairs	
Files & Com.	
Gen. Inv.	
Ident.	
Inspection	
Intell.	
Laboratory	
Plan. & Eval.	
Spec. Inv.	
Training	
Legal Coun.	
Telephone Rm.	
Director Sec'y	

RECEIVED  
FBI MIAMI  
OCT 26 1973

PAGE TWO

TO CONFIRM THIS WITH ME. I ADVISED MR. ARMSTRONG I WAS NOT IN MY OFFICE AND DID NOT HAVE ANY PERTINENT DETAILS AVAILABLE FROM MY FILE AT MY IMMEDIATE DISPOSAL. HE REQUESTED I CALL HIM BACK.

THE SUM TOTAL OF THE INFORMATION AVAILABLE TO ME HAS BEEN FURNISHED TO THE DIRECTOR BY LETTER DATED JULY 23, 1973. FOR THE BUREAU'S INFORMATION, THE FACTS AS RELATED BY ARMSTRONG TO ME, WHICH HE WANTED CONFIRMED, ARE SUBSTANTIALLY IN LINE WITH THE ACTUAL HAPPENINGS.

SAC MIAMI WILL NOT CONTACT ARMSTRONG UNTIL ADVISED BY THE BUREAU.

END

The Acting Attorney General

November 20, 1973

Director, FBI

1 - Mr. Walsh  
1 - Mr. Gebhardt  
1 - Mr. Franck  
1 - Mr. Mintz

**SELECT COMMITTEE ON PRESIDENTIAL  
CAMPAIGN ACTIVITIES; REQUEST TO  
INTERVIEW SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE  
KENNETH W. WHITTAKER**

This will confirm the Department's authorization, communicated by Mr. Gary Baise on November 15, 1973, for Special Agent in Charge Kenneth W. Whittaker to appear on November 20, 1973, for interview by representatives of the United States Senate Select Committee on Presidential Campaign Activities as requested by the Chairman in his letter of November 6, 1973, addressed to you.

Pursuant to the authorization, Special Agent in Charge Whittaker will appear and will only respond to questions concerning his participation in the meeting with Mr. Charles G. Rebozo and others on June 18, 1973, at the Key Biscayne Bank and Trust Company.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED

HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED

DATE 3/12/91 BY SP3 BTJ/aw

369 637

NOTE: Based on memorandum Legal Counsel to the Director, 11/19/73, captioned as above, JAM:mfd.


JAM:mfd  
(7)

REC-105

62-115554-45

NOV 20 1973

Assoc. Dir. \_\_\_\_\_  
Asst. Dir.: \_\_\_\_\_  
Admin. \_\_\_\_\_  
Comp. Syst. \_\_\_\_\_  
Ext. Affairs \_\_\_\_\_  
Files & Com. \_\_\_\_\_  
Gen. Inv. \_\_\_\_\_  
Ident. \_\_\_\_\_  
Inspection \_\_\_\_\_  
Intell. \_\_\_\_\_  
Laboratory \_\_\_\_\_  
Plan. & Eval. \_\_\_\_\_  
Spec. Inv. \_\_\_\_\_  
Training \_\_\_\_\_  
Legal Coun. \_\_\_\_\_  
Telephone Rm. \_\_\_\_\_  
Director Sec'y \_\_\_\_\_



53 NOV 28 1973

MAIL ROOM ☐

TELETYPE UNIT ☐

PERS. REC. UNIT

UNRECORDED COPY FILED IN 62-115554-45

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

# Memorandum

TO : The Director

DATE: 11/19/73

FROM : Legal Counsel

SUBJECT: SELECT COMMITTEE ON PRESIDENTIAL  
CAMPAIGN ACTIVITIES; REQUEST TO  
INTERVIEW SAC KENNETH W. WHITTAKER

Assoc. Dir. \_\_\_\_\_  
Asst. Dir. \_\_\_\_\_  
Adm. \_\_\_\_\_  
Comp. Syst. \_\_\_\_\_  
Ext. Aff. \_\_\_\_\_  
Files & Com. \_\_\_\_\_  
Gen. Inv. \_\_\_\_\_  
Ident. \_\_\_\_\_  
Inspection \_\_\_\_\_  
Intell. \_\_\_\_\_  
Laboratory \_\_\_\_\_  
Plan. & Eval. \_\_\_\_\_  
Spec. Inv. \_\_\_\_\_  
Training \_\_\_\_\_  
Legal Coun. \_\_\_\_\_  
Telephone Rm. \_\_\_\_\_  
Director Sec'y \_\_\_\_\_

At 2:00 p.m. on November 19, 1973, SAC Whittaker met with Supervisor Charles A. Nuzum of the General Investigative Division, Inspector Herington and myself concerning his proposed appearance for interview by representatives of the Select Committee on Presidential Campaign Activities. SAC Whittaker restated the information previously furnished the Bureau concerning his meeting with Mr. Rebozo. He was advised not to take with him to the interview any official memoranda prepared as a result of his meeting with Mr. Rebozo. His answers to questions should relate only to matters concerning which he has personal knowledge. SAC Whittaker advised that the original notes taken at the time of his meeting were in a small personal notebook which he retains. I advised him to have that available in the event the Committee representatives asked him specifically whether he recorded serial numbers of the money or made other notes concerning the meeting. I advised him that should this matter become an issue, the Committee representatives could be shown the page from his personal notebook and permitted to copy that page which bears his original notations. Supervisor Nuzum advised that as far as we know, disclosing the serial numbers of the bills would not impair any Bureau investigation.

I advised SAC Whittaker to respond only to questions directly relating to his meeting with Mr. Rebozo. SAC Whittaker said that he understood that limitation and it would not be necessary for him to be accompanied by another Bureau representative. He is aware of the fact that the Department will furnish an attorney who will accompany him to protect the Department's interest.

Enc. *Sent 11-20-73*  
1 - Mr. Walsh  
1 - Mr. Gebhardt  
1 - Mr. Franck  
1 - Mr. Mintz

JAM:mfd

(5)

5 NOV 23 1973

CONTINUED - OVER

Unrecorded Copy Filed In 62-115554-46

PERM REC UNIT

Memorandum to the Director  
Re: Select Committee on Presidential  
Campaign Activities, etc.

Attached is a proposed letter to the Acting Attorney General confirming the authorization for SAC Whittaker to appear for interview by representatives of the Committee.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the attached letter of confirmation be approved and sent to the Acting Attorney General.

*[Handwritten signature]*  
H-2

*[Handwritten signature]*

*[Handwritten mark]*

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

# Memorandum

TO Mr. Gebhardt *Y/N*

DATE 11/15/73

FROM R. E. Long *rel N*

- 1- Mr. Gebhardt
- 1- Mr. Long
- 1- Mr. Nuzum
- 1- Mr. Mintz

SUBJECT *0* SELECT COMMITTEE ON PRESIDENTIAL  
CAMPAIGN ACTIVITIES; REQUEST TO  
INTERVIEW SAC KENNETH W. WHITTAKER

Assoc. Dir. ☒  
Asst. Dir. ☒  
Comp. Syst. ☒  
Ext. Affairs ☒  
Files & Com. ☒  
Gen. Inv. ☒  
Ident. ☒  
Inspection ☐  
Intell. ☐  
Laboratory ☐  
Plan. & Eval. ☐  
Spec. Inv. ☐  
Training ☐  
Legal Coun. ☒  
Telephone Rm. ☐  
Director Sec'y ☐

In accordance with the recommendation contained in the memorandum of Legal Counsel to the Director dated 11/15/73, this memorandum furnishes a summary of the facts concerning a meeting between SAC Kenneth W. Whittaker and Mr. Charles G. Rebozo on 6/18/73. The Director was advised of that meeting by letter dated 7/23/73 (copy attached) from Mr. Whittaker.

By letter dated 11/6/73, Senator Ervin, Chairman, Select Committee on Presidential Campaign Activities (Water-gate Committee), wrote Acting Attorney General Bork to request permission for an interview by the Committee staff of SAC Whittaker concerning the 6/18/73 contact SAC Whittaker had with Mr. Rebozo. According to SAC Whittaker, that meeting occurred when Mr. Rebozo requested SAC Whittaker contact Mr. Rebozo at his bank at Key Biscayne, Florida. Mr. Rebozo told SAC Whittaker that in 1969\* he received a total of \*and 1970 \$100,000 from Richard Danner, a representative of Howard Hughes, which money was to be applied to the Republican campaign activities. Mr. Rebozo stated he maintained this \$100,000 in a safe deposit box at his bank and never turned it over to the Republican Party. He told SAC Whittaker he planned to return the cash to Danner and desired to know if the FBI could determine through examination of the cash the length of time it had been held in the safe deposit box.

SAC Whittaker observed the money which appeared to be in new currency and advised Mr. Rebozo it did not appear that a laboratory examination would develop any information concerning the length of time the money had been held. Mr. Rebozo requested SAC Whittaker to observe the counting of the money in order that he would recognize it if he were questioned subsequently (IRS was then conducting an investigation concerning that money). SAC Whittaker personally initialed seven of the bills and recorded the serial numbers of these bills but did not observe each of the bills making up the \$100,000.

Attachment

CAN/amm (5)

XEROX

DEC 5 1973

DEC 10 1973

CONTINUED - OVER

PLS REC UNIT

UNRECORDED COPY FILED IN

62-112978

62-115554-492-5

6- Car

677



Memo Long to Gebhardt

RE: SELECT COMMITTEE ON PRESIDENTIAL CAMPAIGN ACTIVITIES

On 7/30/73 the Director discussed this matter with then Attorney General Richardson who requested that we advise IRS. This was done by letter dated 8/1/73 to the Commissioner, IRS. No investigation was conducted concerning this matter since there appeared to be no basis for such.

ACTION: This is for information.

*CW*

*RTG*

*WAF*

*MY*

*SH*

*CH*

*JM*

*W*



KENNETH W. WHITTAKER

July 23, 1973

Mr. Clarence M. Kelley  
Director  
Federal Bureau of Investigation  
Washington, D. C.

PERSONAL

Dear Mr. Kelley:

I hesitate to write you in this manner but I feel the information contained herein is confidential in a sense and significant because the principals involved are close to the President. It is submitted for your information as I feel there is no action we in the Bureau would be obliged to take.

Briefly, the enclosed memoranda relate a summons by Mr. Bebe Rebozo to me asking that I meet him at his bank to view one hundred thousand dollars to determine whether any examination could be made to show that the money was in his possession for a number of years. As indicated, my reply was in the negative just from a cursory examination of the money which was crisp and new and alleged to have been kept in a safe deposit box for several years. Mr. Rebozo explained the source of the money and that he intended to return it but wanted to avoid any potential embarrassment to the President and himself since the money was never funneled into the campaign by Mr. Rebozo.

At the time of this incident, Mr. Rebozo asked me to keep my visit confidential. Since I saw no area of interest for the FBI in the facts as related, I merely recited the occurrence in memorandum form to my secretary for future reference in case any inquiry were ever made. I told Mr. Rebozo I felt I had to do this and he said "Fine;" that he had all the faith in the world in my secretary and me keeping the information confidential. It should be noted this information had been previously alluded to in Jack Anderson's column some months earlier.

Asst. Dir.:  
Admin. \_\_\_\_\_  
Comp. Syst. \_\_\_\_\_  
Files & Com. \_\_\_\_\_  
Gen. Inv. ☒ \_\_\_\_\_  
Ident. \_\_\_\_\_  
Inspection \_\_\_\_\_  
Intell. \_\_\_\_\_  
Laboratory \_\_\_\_\_  
Plan. & Eval. \_\_\_\_\_  
Spec. Inv. \_\_\_\_\_  
Training \_\_\_\_\_  
Legal Coun. \_\_\_\_\_  
Cong. Serv. \_\_\_\_\_  
Corr. & Crm. \_\_\_\_\_  
Research \_\_\_\_\_  
Press Off. \_\_\_\_\_  
Telephone Rm. \_\_\_\_\_  
Director Sec'y \_\_\_\_\_

*A/G Richardson  
made index  
Mar 28 73  
Ply*

AD Gabbard -

- 1) Review & advise: 62-115554-47
- 2) The last action I saw contemplated is to write to IRS and advise them of this notification & say UAC, we are taking no action.
- 3) We perhaps should notify others in justice & I should discuss with Spedite - Cink

ENCLOSURE

The second enclosure reflects a visit by Internal Revenue Agents to me inquiring as to what transpired at Mr. Rebozo's bank that day.

*Referred*

[REDACTED] It should be noted at this juncture that Bebe Rebozo called me long distance from Washington to advise me that he had been interviewed by the IRS concerning this money and he had told the interviewing agents of my presence there. They indicated to him they were going to visit me. Mr. Rebozo also stated he understood when I told him I was going to be perfectly candid with the Internal Revenue officers as to the purpose of my visit and of his, Rebozo's, request of the FBI regarding the analysis of the money.

The above represents the sum total of the point of this letter. I know Bebe Rebozo personally and feel that his call to me was motivated for the reasons he stated. I cannot imagine any ulterior motive as of this writing and if his intention was to use me or the FBI, I believe it was to establish the fact he had \$100,000 in a safety deposit box and I saw what purported to be this amount. Until I was called upon by the IRS, I did not feel this incident was particularly significant. Now, however, since potential exists it might make the papers at some future time, I felt you should know about the facts of this matter. I would like you to know I told Mr. Rebozo, in view of the intercession of the IRS, I felt constrained to advise my superiors of the above.

Upon reflection, I can think of no one or any particular area within the Seat of Government who would have an interest besides yourself. I therefore am using this method of furnishing the information to you for whatever purpose you see fit. I did not commit myself or the FBI to any restrictions relative to this information to Mr. Rebozo, but did feel it should be treated more circumspectly in view of all the investigations, Senate inquiries, etc., that are presently pending.

In closing, let me apologize once again,  
both for the length of the letter and for passing on  
information which may be totally insignificant. I  
just felt you should know about it.

Sincerely,

*Ken Whitaker*

Enclosures

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

# Memorandum

TO : FILE (80-1299)

FROM : SAC KENNETH W. WHITTAKER

SUBJECT: CHARLES G. REBOZO, aka  
POTENTIAL SAC CONTACT

DATE: June 18, 1973

At approximately 8:45 this morning, captioned proposed SAC Contact telephonically contacted me and requested that I meet with him at his bank on Key Biscayne to discuss a matter. He desired advice as to the Bureau's capabilities concerning certain funds which he had in his possession.

At approximately 9:20 a.m., I met with Mr. REBOZO and his lawyer, Mr. WAKEFIELD. Mr. REBOZO advised me as follows:

He wanted my advice as to whether certain monies in his possession in the amount of \$100,000 in hundred-dollar bills could be examined by anybody to determine the length of time they had been retained in his safety deposit box. I replied that although the final answer would have to come from the FBI Laboratory, the condition of the money would be a determining factor; however, since most safety deposit boxes in banks are in air-conditioned surroundings the money would most likely not be susceptible to this type of examination successfully.

He then explained that he had received \$50,000 from DICK DANNER in 1969 which he was told was from HOWARD HUGHES to be applied to the Republican Congressional elections in 1970 as desired. A short time later he received another \$50,000 for the same purpose from Mr. DANNER, allegedly from the same source; that is, Mr. HUGHES.

Mr. REBOZO stated he kept the money in the safety deposit box intending to give it to whoever was Republican Party Chairman when, all of a sudden, HUGHES, DANNER and MAYHEW made national publicity through a big fight when efforts were being made to wrest control of the huge HUGHES financial empire.

KWW:mjs  
(1)

80-1299-Sub A1

SEARCHED.....	INDEXED.....
SERIALIZED.....	FILED.....
JUN 18 1973	
FBI - MIAMI	



5010-103-02

62-115554-47.5  
Buy U.S. Savings Bonds Regularly on the Payroll Savings Plan

REBOZO said because of this, he decided not to give the money to the Republican Financial Chairman but retained it and called DANNER as to who he should give the money back to. DANNER advised him that HUGHES still wanted the Republicans to have the money and indicated he had given similar amounts to Democratic candidates.

Mr. REBOZO said he had held the money all this time and never contributed it. He said he had been queried by Internal Revenue people a few months ago as to the existence of these funds since they had been told by an unknown source (REBOZO believes it was MAYHEW) that the money was given to REBOZO by DANNER. In fact, REBOZO said, he thinks MAYHEW may have alleged that DANNER never paid the money but kept it for his own use, since they have become bitter enemies.

REBOZO indicated that because of all this confusion he never did give the money to the Republicans, even during the Presidential election and still, in fact, has the original monies in his safety deposit box. He said his purpose in calling me was to determine if there is any way I could think of that would indicate how old the money was. I told him I knew of no way unless there was some peculiarity about the money.

He then told me his attorney told him to take the money out of his box, record all the numbers, and to write to DANNER telling him the money was available in the vault at his bank and he wanted to return it to him since the President did not need it for his campaign and had indicated to REBOZO it should be returned to HUGHES.

I along with Mr. REBOZO's sister, who is an employee at the bank, went into the safety deposit box and took the money out of the box and I observed the money to be all hundred-dollar bills. From a cursory examination I noticed the Secretary of the Treasury indicated on the bills were DILLON, FALLOR and MORGENTHAUER.

I did not participate in counting the money but I did notice it was all in very good condition. Some of the bills appeared to be almost new, although none of the series were dated after 1969 (that is of the money I observed which was a representative number of about 50).



I told Mr. REBOZO I did not believe the FBI Laboratory could be of any assistance in determining the length of time these bills were in the safety deposit box. He asked me if I would like to observe the counting of the money and the marking so I would recognize it again if questioned. To my inquiries as to why that might be, he stated he was going to send the money to RICHARD DANNER but if the IRS is interested in it he is going to request DANNER to hold the money in toto for whatever use they desired. I stated the FBI had no jurisdiction or interest in this and declined to stay and witness each bill. I did record the following serials of several of the bills and initialed those bills so I might recognize them if questioned at a future date (initialed "KW" in the lower left-hand corner of the bills):

K01386852A  
L08481883A  
B06375422A  
L06004485A  
L00681094  
E10545877A  
L03368472A

At this juncture I proposed to take my leave. He requested that absolutely no dissemination be made of this information at this time as he was working it out with the Internal Revenue Service and his attorneys and attempting to get in touch with DANNER to take the money back. I told him I would have to make a record of my visit because of the numerous ramifications. He said he agreed but reminded me this money given in 1969 and 1970 did not constitute a violation of the Act relating to campaign fund disclosures nor was it involved in any of the recent unfortunate Watergate tangents and, since it was a separate incident, he would request no further dissemination be made at this time. He indicated his real interest was in having me give him advice about the bills and whether the FBI Laboratory could assist in determining how long he had had them. Once he realized this was not possible, he said he did not want any other action by the FBI.

In view of the above and since I believe this is not related to the Watergate matter or any violation of the law within our jurisdiction and since the IRS has already questioned

MM 80-1299

Mr. REBOZO about this matter, this information is being made of record for Mr. REBOZO's file in the event any references are made to it by the Bureau or outside sources. No dissemination is being made to anyone other than Miss [REDACTED] my secretary, and myself, and I am instructing her to say nothing to anyone at Mr. REBOZO's request.

b6

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

# Memorandum

TO : FILE (80-1299, Sub A)

FROM : SAC KENNETH W. WHITTAKER

SUBJECT: CHARLES G. REBOZO, aka  
SAC CONTACT

DATE: July 19, 1973

This is to record that I received two Special Agents of the Internal Revenue Service this morning in my office at 8:45. They properly identified themselves with their credentials and the man in charge of the investigation, [REDACTED] indicated he wanted to see me about a very sensitive investigation.

*Refused*  
↓

[REDACTED]

I explained to Agent [REDACTED] the purpose of my visit; that was to respond to Mr. REBOZO's request as to whether the money could be examined in any way to determine how old it was through chemical analysis. I explained to Agent [REDACTED] in detail the information set forth in my memorandum dated June 18, 1973.

[REDACTED] was most appreciative of my cooperation and stated [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] stated this was extremely sensitive. He was concerned that this information should not be leaked to the press and asked if I had told anybody about the story Mr. REBOZO related to me. I replied I

KWW:mjs  
(1)

80-1299-Sub A2

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
JUL 19 1973	
FBI - MIAMI	

62-115554-47

ENCLOSURE





MM 80-1299, Sub A

did not tell anyone except my secretary because I felt there was no jurisdiction within the FBI's interest and once it was determined we could not be of assistance, there was no further need for it to be discussed. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] He pointed out that JACK ANDERSON, columnist, had mentioned this money in one of his articles several weeks ago.

It should be noted for information purposes that Mr. Rebozo had contacted me telephonically on July 16, 1973, and indicated [REDACTED] had interviewed him and that he, Mr. REBOZO, gave my name to [REDACTED] and he expected [REDACTED] would call upon me for an interview. Mr. REBOZO stated he felt there was no need for me to treat this in strictest confidence any longer since the IRS was making further inquiry and that I should feel free to discuss it with them in detail.

The above is for information purposes.

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

# Memorandum

TO : The Director

DATE: 11/15/73

FROM : Legal Counsel *[Signature]*

SUBJECT: SELECT COMMITTEE ON PRESIDENTIAL  
CAMPAIGN ACTIVITIES; REQUEST TO  
INTERVIEW SAC KENNETH W. WHITTAKER

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED  
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED  
DATE 8/12/97 BY SP3 BR/AN  
369137

At 5:44 p.m. on November 14, 1973, you advised me that Gary Baise in the Deputy Attorney General's Office told you that the Department had received a request from Senator Ervin to interview SAC Kenneth W. Whittaker in regard to a meeting he had with Mr. Charles G. Rebozo on June 18, 1973. You asked for my opinion as to whether the interview of SAC Whittaker should be authorized and I advised you that in view of the circumstances the FBI had nothing to conceal in regard to the Whittaker-Rebozo meeting and that any effort on our part to prevent the interview of SAC Whittaker would make it appear that the FBI was attempting to avoid disclosure of improper conduct. Therefore, I recommended that the interview of Mr. Whittaker by the Committee be authorized and you agreed. You instructed me to advise Gary Baise of your decision that Mr. Whittaker would be made available for interview as requested.

I advised you that I would like to discuss this matter with Assistant Director Gebhardt and you agreed. I told Mr. Gebhardt of the request and of your decision.

At 9:00 a.m. on November 15, 1973, I met with Gary Baise and advised him that you had decided to authorize the interview of Mr. Whittaker by the Committee but that we would like to have further details concerning the interview. He furnished me a copy of the letter from Senator Ervin to Acting Attorney General Bork dated November 6, 1973, which communicated the request to interview Mr. Whittaker. A copy of that letter is attached.

Enc.

1/ ENCLOSURE

- 1 - Mr. Callahan
- 1 - Mr. Gebhardt
- 1 - Mr. Mintz

DEC 19 1973  
(4)

67 DEC 15 1973

DEC 16 1973

CONTINUED - OVER

PER. REC. UNIT

Asst. Dir. ☒  
Asst. Dir. ☒  
Admin. ☒  
Comp. Syst. ☒  
Ext. Affairs ☒  
Files & Com. ☒  
Gen. Inv. ☒  
Ident. ☒  
Inspection ☒  
Intell. ☒  
Laboratory ☒  
Plan. & Eval. ☒  
Spec. Inv. ☒  
Training ☒  
Legal Coun. ☒  
Telephone Rm. ☒  
Director Sec'y ☒

62-112974

UNRECORDED COPY FILED IN

MEMO Long to Gebhardt  
CAN/2111  
11-15-73

62-115554

Memorandum to the Director  
Re: Select Committee on Presidential  
Campaign Activities

Specifically, we wanted to know whether the interview would be in executive session or whether it would be public testimony. Mr. Baise said that he was not aware of the details of the proposed interview, but that he would pursue this matter.

Mr. Baise said that it was some concern to him that it might be appropriate for SAC Whittaker to be accompanied by counsel furnished by the Department and he asked me whether it was my opinion that counsel should be present. I told him that in my view Mr. Whittaker could be instructed to answer only questions relating directly to the meeting he had with Mr. Rebozo on June 18, 1973, and that his full responses to those questions would not necessarily require the advice of counsel. I told Mr. Baise that the questions whether the Department desired to have counsel representing the Department present was a decision that they would have to make.

Mr. Baise said that there was a meeting scheduled for 9:15 a.m. on November 15, 1973, with the Acting Attorney General and other Department officials and that he would present the matter of the Committee request to interview SAC Whittaker at that meeting. He said he would immediately advise me so that I could furnish you the details of any developments concerning this matter which may arise at the Acting Attorney General's meeting and any decisions which might be made by Mr. Bork.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

1. That SAC Whittaker be advised of the receipt of the request for the Committee to interview him and that he should take no action and make no comment concerning this matter without prior Bureau approval.

Done 1 <sup>39</sup>/<sub>P</sub> 11/15/73, *jam*

*OK*

*jam*  
*MS*

Memorandum to the Director  
Re: Select Committee on Presidential  
Campaign Activities

RECOMMENDATIONS (Cont'd)

2. That the General Investigative Division prepare a summary of the facts concerning the meeting between SAC Whittaker and Mr. Rebozo on June 18, 1973, for your information.

OK

John  
MS

3. That no additional action be taken in regard to this matter pending receipt of further details from the Department and the Acting Attorney General's decisions, if any.

OK [Signature]

John  
MS

SAM J. ERVIN, JR., N.C., CHAIRMAN  
EDWARD M. BAKER, JR., TENN. VICE CHAIRMAN  
HERMAN E. TALMADGE, GA. EDWARD J. GUNNEY, FLA.  
DANIEL K. INOUE, HAWAII LOWELL P. WEICKER, JR., CONN.  
JOSEPH M. MONTOTA, N. MEX.

SAMUEL DASH  
CHIEF COUNSEL AND STAFF DIRECTOR

FRED D. THOMPSON  
MINORITY COUNSEL  
RUFUS L. EDMISTEN  
DEPUTY COUNSEL

## United States Senate

SELECT COMMITTEE ON  
PRESIDENTIAL CAMPAIGN ACTIVITIES  
(PURSUANT TO S. RES. 60, 910 CONGRESS)

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20510

November 6, 1973

The Honorable Robert Bork  
Acting Attorney General  
Department of Justice  
Washington, D. C. 20530

RECEIVED  
OFFICE OF THE  
ATTORNEY GENERAL  
NOV 6 1973

Dear Mr. Bork:

We are seeking your cooperation in a matter pertaining to the duties of the Select Committee on Presidential Campaign Activities.

It has come to our attention that Mr. Kenneth Whittaker, Special Agent in charge of the Miami, Florida FBI office, has information concerning important matters of the 1972 presidential campaign. Specifically, we would like to discuss with Mr. Whittaker his participation in a meeting with Mr. Charles G. Rebozo and others on June 18, 1973, at the Key Biscayne Bank and Trust Company, at which time Mr. Whittaker may have received information relevant to this Committee's investigations.

We request your permission to interview Mr. Whittaker, and your assistance in facilitating such an interview at the earliest possible convenience. I would appreciate it if your office would contact Mr. Terry Lenzner, Assistant Chief Counsel (225-0531), directly to pursue this further.

Sincerely yours,

*Sam J. Ervin, Jr.*  
Sam J. Ervin, Jr.  
Chairman

1  
XEROX  
DEC 5 1973

ENCLOSURE

62-115554-48

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

# Memorandum

TO : The Director

DATE: 11/15/73

FROM : Legal Counsel *JAM*

SUBJECT: SELECT COMMITTEE ON PRESIDENTIAL  
CAMPAIGN ACTIVITIES; REQUEST TO  
INTERVIEW SAC KENNETH W. WHITTAKER

Assoc. Dir. \_\_\_\_\_  
Asst. Dir.: \_\_\_\_\_  
Adm. Serv. \_\_\_\_\_  
Crim. Inv. \_\_\_\_\_  
Files & Com. \_\_\_\_\_  
Gen. Inv. *J/N* \_\_\_\_\_  
Ident. \_\_\_\_\_  
Inspection \_\_\_\_\_  
Intell. \_\_\_\_\_  
Laboratory \_\_\_\_\_  
Plan. & Eval. \_\_\_\_\_  
Spec. Inv. \_\_\_\_\_  
Training \_\_\_\_\_  
Telephone Rm. \_\_\_\_\_  
Director Sec'y \_\_\_\_\_

At 11:48 a.m., November 15, 1973, Gary Baise called and advised that he had discussed the request for the Committee to interview SAC Whittaker in the meeting with the Acting Attorney General as described in my earlier memorandum of today. He said that it had been determined that a Department attorney should accompany SAC Whittaker and that attorney probably would be Irwin Goldbloom, an attorney in the Civil Division who has had considerable experience in assisting witnesses under similar circumstances.

Mr. Baise said that he had spoken with Mr. Armstrong of the Committee staff and had arranged for the interview to be conducted at 2 p.m. on Tuesday, November 20, 1973, at a place yet to be determined.

I asked him to advise me as soon as possible when the place of interview is determined and he agreed. I asked Mr. Baise whether he had learned the details regarding the interview. He said that he understood it would be a private interview conducted by Committee staff members. I advised Mr. Baise that arrangements would be made to have SAC Whittaker appear in time for a 2 p.m. meeting on November 20, 1973.

## RECOMMENDATION:

REC-105

That SAC Whittaker be instructed to report to FBI Headquarters in time to consult and prepare for a meeting at 2 p.m. on November 20, 1973.

- 1 - Mr. Gebhardt  
1 - Mr. Walsh  
1 - Mr. Mintz

JAM:mfd  
(4)

DEC 10 1973

67 11 13 1973

62-115554-49  
DEC 10 1973  
PERM REC UNIT

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

# Memorandum

TO : John A. Mintz *Jan/98*  
Inspector, FBI Room 5640

DATE: November 19, 1973

FROM : Gary H. Baise *J*

SUBJECT: SAC Whitaker.

*15*

Pursuant to our phone conversation this morning, please construe this memo as authority for SAC Whitaker to appear before the staff of the Senate Watergate Committee on November 20, 1973, at 2:30 p.m. I have requested the Civil Division to provide counsel and they have agreed to do so. Mr. Whitaker is to meet with Mr. Goldbloom at 10:30 tomorrow morning.

Thank you for your assistance in this matter. *h*

REC-106

*62-115554-50*

*12-5*

5 NOV 26 1973

*memo;  
Legal Counsel  
to Director*

*11/20/73 JHB:mpd*

*1-11* XEROX

DEC 10 1973

*6-01*  
*SECRET*

67-115554-50



UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

# Memorandum

TO : The Director

DATE: 11/20/73

FROM : Legal Counsel *[Signature]*

SUBJECT: SELECT COMMITTEE ON PRESIDENTIAL  
CAMPAIGN ACTIVITIES; REQUEST TO  
INTERVIEW SAC KENNETH W. WHITTAKER

Assoc. Dir. \_\_\_\_\_  
Asst. Dir.: \_\_\_\_\_  
Admin. \_\_\_\_\_  
Comp. Syst. \_\_\_\_\_  
Ext. Affairs \_\_\_\_\_  
Files & Com. \_\_\_\_\_  
Ident. \_\_\_\_\_  
Inspection \_\_\_\_\_  
Intell. \_\_\_\_\_  
Laboratory \_\_\_\_\_  
Plan. & Eval. \_\_\_\_\_  
Spec. Inv. \_\_\_\_\_  
Training \_\_\_\_\_  
Legal Coun. \_\_\_\_\_  
Telephone Rm. \_\_\_\_\_  
Director Sec'y \_\_\_\_\_

Attached is a memorandum from Gary Baise addressed to me dated November 19, 1973, in which Mr. Baise indicates that authority was given for SAC Whittaker to appear before the staff of the Senate Watergate Committee for interview on November 20, 1973. As indicated by prior memorandum dated November 19, 1973, and our letter of confirmation to the Acting Attorney General, Department authorization for Mr. Whittaker's appearance for interview was granted. Therefore, Mr. Baise's memorandum of November 19, 1973, merely reconfirms the prior authorization. It requires no additional action on the part of this Bureau and should be filed for record purposes.

## RECOMMENDATION:

None; for information.

Enc.

- 1 - Mr. Gebhardt
- 1 - Mr. Mintz

JAM:mfd  
(3)

REC-106

RSC

62-115554-5

NOV 26 1973

DEC 10 1973

53 DEC 11 1973



UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

# Memorandum

TO : Mr. Callahan

DATE: 11/21/73  
ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED  
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED  
DATE 3/2/97 BY SP3ATJ/aw

FROM : R.R. Franck *RRF*

Select Committee on Presidential Campaign Activities

SUBJECT: BEBE REBOZO-SAC KENNETH WHITTAKER MATTER  
INFORMATION CONCERNING

Assoc. Dir. ☒  
Asst. Dir.:  
Admin. ☐  
Comp. Syst. ☐  
Ext. Affairs ☐  
Files & Com. ☐  
Gen. Inv. ☐  
Ident. ☐  
Inspection ☐  
Intell. ☐  
Laboratory ☐  
Plan. & Eval. ☐  
Spec. Inv. ☐  
Training ☐  
Legal Coun. ☐  
Telephone Rm. ☐  
Director Sec'y ☐

*DC* At approximately 8:15 p.m., 11/20/73, Miss Margaret Gentry, Associated Press reporter covering the Justice Department, telephonically contacted me at home to register her objection that the statement concerning Miami SAC Whittaker's appearance before the Ervin Committee on 11/20/73 was not sufficient enough for her purposes. I asked her to repeat the statement given her earlier by SA T.J. Harrington, Press Services Office, (after approval by the Director and Acting Attorney General Bork) which she did and was then advised by me that she had the statement correct.

Miss Gentry was most adamant that such a statement was not in keeping with "Kelley's new policy of the open stance." She stated that unless she received additional information to help her prepare a story of SAC Whittaker's "fingerprinting" of the \$100,000 Howard Hughes money that had been in Rebozo's possession, etc. she would "dig up" Kelley's statements concerning being open with the press and show the statements were false. I patiently explained to her that the statement we issued concerning SAC Whittaker's appearance was definitely in keeping with the Director's position and absolutely all that had been approved for release at this time. I also told her I didn't appreciate her implied threat that if she didn't obtain additional facts that she would "dig up" the Director's press policy statements and attempt to show Mr. Kelley was not living up to such statements. I pointed out to her that the statement itself was self-explanatory in that SAC Whittaker's interview with the Ervin Committee "was confidential and in view of that the FBI could make no further comment." I also mentioned to her that it wouldn't be necessary for her to "dig up" anything for I would be pleased to give her the Director's public policy statements in this or any other regard. I assured her that she was receiving the same information as all other members of the media concerning the above and no favored position could or should be shown to her individually. It was also again pointed out

- 1 - Mr. Gebhardt
- 1 - Mr. Harrington
- 1 - Mr. Quinn
- 1 - Mr. Murphy
- 1 - Mr. Ellingsworth

REC-106

DEC 5 1973  
(CONTINUED-OVER)

RRF:jo  
(5)

DEC 5 1973

Classified by Letter  
Per FCIM 11.2.2

62-115554-52  
DEC 5 1973  
Macy Gentry  
7/1/77  
PERG. REC. UNIT

62-112978-  
UNRECORDED COPY FILED IN

Franck to Callahan memo (cont'd.)  
Re: BEBE REBOZO-SAC KENNETH WHITTAKER MATTER

to her that the statement was all that was approved for dissemination at this time but that certainly if any additional statement would be forthcoming she would personally be advised as all other members of the news media with an interest in this matter.

It should be noted that Miss Gentry was still not satisfied as to the reasons she could not obtain additional information at this time and no amount of further clarification seemed to make her objectively and logically reassess her untenable position. Although we made numerous calls to all segments of the news media last night, Miss Gentry was the only one that was critical of the statement being released.

ACTION:

None. For information.

*OK*  
*If she cares to pursue this she may do so. We do not comment re matters pending and the Department is guiding us, as they should in such matters.*

*K*

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

# Memorandum

Assoc. Dir.	_____
Asst. Dir.:	_____
Admin.	_____
Comp. Syst.	_____
Ext. Affairs	_____
Files & Com.	_____
Gen. Inv.	_____
Ident.	_____
Inspection	_____
Intell.	_____
Laboratory	_____
Plan. & Eval.	_____
Spec. Inv.	_____
Training	_____
Legal Coun.	_____
Telephone Rm.	_____
Director Sec'y	_____

TO : Director, FBI

DATE: 11/23/73

FROM : SAC, Miami

ATTENTION: ASSISTANT DIRECTOR  
ROBERT E. GEBHARDT

SUBJECT: TESTIMONY BEFORE SENATE  
SELECT COMMITTEE ON  
PRESIDENTIAL CAMPAIGN ACTIVITIES

The following will serve to record my interview by representatives of the above-captioned Committee on Tuesday, November 20, 1973.

Prior to my attendance at the Committee, I thoroughly briefed Mr. IRVING JAFFE and Mr. IRVING GOLDBLOOM of the Department of Justice as to what I intended to discuss during the interview. Both of these men were extremely courteous and helpful. The latter, Mr. GOLDBLOOM, joined me during the questioning period at the sub-committee.

My interview was conducted by the following members of the staff at 2:30 p.m. in Room G308 of the New Senate Office Building. The chief interrogator was TERRY LENZNER. His two assistants were MARC LACKRITZ and MARY DE OREO. Although not scheduled to be in attendance, Mr. ROBERT SILVERSTEIN, Assistant Minority Counsel of the Select Committee, also sat in during the interview. It should be noted Mr. SILVERSTEIN is a personal friend of mine since he had served in the United States Attorney's Office in Miami in the past. When he accidentally ran into me in the hall, he was dismayed that I was called by the young interrogators to Washington and said if he had realized it, he would have sent some people down to talk to me in Miami. He also stated Mr. SCOTT ARMSTRONG, the individual who had conducted the interview of Mr. C. G. (BEBE) REBOZO and had requested this interview, had recently been fired from this committee for leaking information to the press. This information was subsequently verified in the afternoon papers on the 20th.

2 - Bureau  
1 - Miami  
KWW:mjs  
(3)

REC-106

62-115554

53

144 XEROX  
DEC 10 1973

12-5  
5 NOV 26 1973

PERS. REC. UNIT

53 DEC 1973



5010-108-02

Buy U.S. Savings Bonds Regularly on the Payroll Savings Plan

The interview was conducted for a period of approximately one hour and thirty minutes in a very cramped, crowded, small office. All persons mentioned above participated in asking questions which dealt directly with the incident of Mr. REBOZO asking me to advise whether there was any way the one hundred thousand dollars in his possession could be examined to prove that he had had it for several years. Reference was also made to the New York Times story which appeared that morning setting forth the alleged facts of my contact with REBOZO on June 18th. All questions dealt with this incident and no other discussion was had about the FBI's participation in Watergate, etc. The interviewers seemed extremely pleased that I had brought my original notes with me and showed them notations I had made during my interview with Mr. REBOZO and shortly afterward. They inquired as to my subsequent dictation from these notes and I advised them memoranda were dictated to my secretary and forwarded to Mr. KELLEY in July. They asked for my secretary's name, which was given to them. The interview was terminated without any unusual developments.

In my opinion, from the comments of the interviewer, the story told by Mr. REBOZO to SCOTT ARMSTRONG was substantially identical to that which I told and this, in turn, appeared to have been verified by Mr. REBOZO's attorney and his sister. It is my impression that, although polite and courteous, the interrogators were inexperienced as they did not properly prepare their interview and oftentimes repeated their questions after groping for some other question of significance.

At the conclusion of the interview, Mr. ROBERT SILVERSTEIN volunteered to me that he did not believe I would ever hear from this Committee again, and complimented me for my forthright answers.

The above represents what transpired at the interview.

Asst. Dir. ☒  
 Asst. Dir.: ☒  
 Admin. ☐  
 Comp. Syst. ☒  
 Ext. Affairs ☒  
 Files & Com. ☐  
 Gen. Inv. ☐  
 Ident. ☐  
 Inspection ☐  
 Intell. ☐  
 Laboratory ☐  
 Plan. & Eval. ☐  
 Spec. Inv. ☐  
 Training ☐  
 Legal Coun. ☐  
 Telephone Rm. ☐  
 Director Sec'y ☐

November 20, 1973

TO THE DIRECTOR:

RE: PRESS INQUIRIES CONCERNING  
 SAC KENNETH W. WHITTAKER AND  
 BEBE REBOZO

For your information, we have been receiving numerous requests the past several days regarding Mr. Whittaker's alleged association with campaign funds which Mr. Rebozo reportedly received from Howard Hughes and Mr. Rebozo's return of the money to Hughes' associates. Today, numerous inquiries from the news media indicate their awareness that Mr. Whittaker is in Washington, D. C. Upon instructions from the Department last Friday we have been answering inquiries to the effect that no information can be furnished until the facts are received.

As Mr. Whittaker has been interviewed this afternoon by a representative of the Ervin committee, it is suggested that we respond to news media inquiries as follows:

The Select Committee on Presidential Campaign Activities requested permission to interview Kenneth W. Whittaker, ~~Special Agent~~ in Charge of the Miami FBI Office, relative to that committee's investigation. Mr. Whittaker was interviewed by a representative of that committee today, November 20th, and in view of the ongoing investigations of that committee it would not be appropriate for the FBI to comment regarding the nature or the substance of that interview.

R. R. FRANCK

1 - Mr. Callahan

JMM:crt

*OK if Department is agreeable. Play it straight across the board.*  
*Bel Department*  
 XEROX  
 DEC 19 1973  
*File*

62-112974-5  
 XEROX COPY FILED IN



UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

# Memorandum

TO : Mr. Callahan

DATE: 11/21/73

FROM : R.R. Franck *RRF/gab*

SUBJECT: PRESS INQUIRIES CONCERNING  
SAC KENNETH W. WHITTAKER AND  
BEBE REBOZO

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED  
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED

DATE 3/12/97 BY SP3 BLS/DA  
369037

*[Handwritten initials]*  
Assoc. Dir. \_\_\_\_\_  
Asst. Dir. \_\_\_\_\_  
Admin. \_\_\_\_\_  
Comp. Syst. \_\_\_\_\_  
Ext. Affairs \_\_\_\_\_  
Files & Com. \_\_\_\_\_  
Gen. Inv. \_\_\_\_\_  
Ident. \_\_\_\_\_  
Inspection \_\_\_\_\_  
Intell. \_\_\_\_\_  
Laboratory \_\_\_\_\_  
Plan. & Eval. \_\_\_\_\_  
Spec. Inv. \_\_\_\_\_  
Training \_\_\_\_\_  
Legal Coun. \_\_\_\_\_  
Telephone Rm. \_\_\_\_\_  
Director Sec'y \_\_\_\_\_

Re my memorandum to the Director yesterday, 11/20/73, regarding a proposed response to the news media in connection with captioned matter. The Director approved the suggested response instructing that the Department be contacted to determine if it was agreeable with them also.

Upon contacting Gary Baise, he stated that he felt the matter should be discussed with Acting Attorney General Bork and suggested that Inspector Murphy meet him in the Attorney General's Office for that purpose. Thereafter, Inspector Murphy met with Acting Attorney General Bork, Mr. Baise, Jack Hushen, Director, Office of Public Information, Robert G. Dixon, Jr., Office of Legal Counsel, and Mr. Bork was furnished a resume of captioned matter and the fact that the news media made numerous inquiries to my office yesterday seeking verification that Mr. Whittaker was in town relative to the Rebozo-Hughes campaign money matter. Mr. Bork then suggested the following response be given as follows:

The Select Committee on Presidential Campaign Activities requested permission to interview Kenneth W. Whittaker, Special Agent in Charge of the Miami FBI Office relative to that Committee's investigation. Mr. Whittaker was interviewed by a representative of that Committee today, November 20th, in a closed meeting. The interview was confidential and in view of that the FBI has no further comment.

The above represents only a slight variation to the response suggested by my office as set forth in yesterday's memorandum (attached).

The above statement was furnished to representatives of the media who had called my office yesterday requesting a statement by the FBI in captioned matter.

## RECOMMENDATION:

Enc. For information.  
1 - Mr. Callahan  
1 - Mr. Mintz  
1 - Mr. Gebhardt  
1 - Mr. Malmfeldt  
1 - Mr. Heim

UNRECORDED COPY FILED IN 62-112774

EX-111

REC-38

DEC 12 1973

DEC 12 1973

*[Handwritten signatures and stamps]*  
PERS. REC. UNIT  
XEROX  
DEC 15 1973

SAM J. ERVIN, JR., N.C., CHAIRMAN  
HOWARD H. BAKER, JR., TENN. VICE CHAIR  
NORMAN E. TALMADGE, GA.  
DANIEL K. INOUE, HAWAII  
JOSEPH M. MONTGOMERY, N. MEX.  
EDWARD J. GURNE  
LOWELL P. WEICKER  
CONN.

SAMUEL DASH  
CHIEF COUNSEL AND STAFF DIRECTOR  
FRED D. THOMPSON  
MINORITY COUNSEL  
RUFUS L. EDMISTEN  
DEPUTY COUNSEL

## United States Senate

SELECT COMMITTEE ON  
PRESIDENTIAL CAMPAIGN ACTIVITIES  
(PURSUANT TO S. RES. 80, 91D CONGRESS)  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20510

Assoc. Dir.	
Asst. Dir.:	
Admin.	
Comp. Syst.	
Ext. Affairs	
Files & Com.	
Gen. Inv.	
Ident.	
Inspection	
Intell.	
Laboratory	
Plan. & Eval.	
Spec. Inv.	
Training	
Legal Coun.	
Telephone Rm.	
Director Sec'y	

December 3, 1973

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED  
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED  
DATE 9/12/97 BY SP3 BJA/aw

369030

The Honorable Clarence M. Kelley  
Director, Federal Bureau of Investigation  
Washington, D.C.

*advise pls*  
*17*

Dear Mr. Kelley:

One aspect of the investigation currently being conducted by the Senate Select Committee on Presidential Campaign Activities concerns the delivery of \$100,100 in cash from an employee of Howard Hughes to Charles G. Rebozo. The Committee would like your assistance in its attempt to piece together the complete story about that money.

It has come to the Committee's attention that Agent Kenneth Whitaker of the Miami, Florida, office met with Mr. Rebozo on or about June 18, 1973, in connection with the \$100,100 in cash. It is the Committee's understanding that on or about June 18, 1973, Mr. Whitaker wrote a memorandum about his meeting with Mr. Rebozo and that in July 1973 Mr. Whitaker wrote you about this matter and attached his memorandum to the letter. The Committee would appreciate receiving a copy of that letter to you and a copy of the memorandum.

In addition, the Committee has been told that Bureau agents have investigated Mr. Whitaker's dealings with Mr. Rebozo. We would appreciate the following help in regard to that investigation by the Bureau:

(1). The opportunity for selected staff members to examine and, where relevant, make copies of any and all data, memoranda, and reports prepared by Federal Bureau of Investigation personnel in the course of any investigations of Mr. Whitaker's dealings with Mr. Rebozo;

EXP. PROC.  
DEC 5 1973

*ACK 1/1/74*  
*2/1/74*  
*WAT*

XEROX  
REC-11  
DEC 20 1973  
ST 107  
62-115554-56  
14 DEC 18 1973

62-115554

*SIX*

62-112974-  
FILED IN

-2-

(2). Permission to interview those Bureau employees who conducted the inquiry referred to in paragraph (1) above.

Your assistance will be greatly appreciated.

Very truly yours,

*Sam J. Ervin, Jr.*

Sam J. Ervin, Jr.,  
Chairman



REC-11

62-115554-56

December 6, 1973

Honorable Sam J. Ervin, Jr.  
Chairman  
Select Committee on Presidential  
Campaign Activities  
United States Senate  
Washington, D. C. 20510

1 - Mr. Gebhardt  
1 - Mr. Nuzum  
1 - Mr. Franck  
1 - Mr. Bowers  
1 - Mr. Walsh  
1 - Mr. Mintz

Dear Mr. Chairman:

This is in response to your letter of December 3, 1973, requesting our assistance in connection with the delivery of \$100,000 by an employee of Howard Hughes to Charles G. Rebozo, and dealings Mr. Kenneth W. Whittaker, Special Agent in Charge of our Miami, Florida, Office, had with Mr. Rebozo.

The Federal Bureau of Investigation did not conduct any investigation of Mr. Whittaker's dealings with Mr. Rebozo. Consequently, there are no memoranda or reports available in this regard.

In reference to your written request to Acting Attorney General Bork on November 6, 1973, Mr. Whittaker was made available to members of your staff in Washington, D. C., on November 20, 1973. He was questioned in room G308 of the New Senate Office Building by Mr. Terry Lenzner and his assistants, Marc Lackritz and Mary DeOreo. Mr. Robert Silverstein, Assistant Minority Counsel, was also present. Mr. Whittaker answered all questions asked of him concerning his dealings with Mr. Rebozo and displayed to the staff members the original notes he made during his contact with Mr. Rebozo on June 18, 1973.

It is believed that Mr. Whittaker's responses to staff members' questions and his notes, which were displayed on November 20, 1973, accurately and completely set forth his dealings with Mr. Rebozo.

Sincerely yours,

Clarence M. Kelley

Clarence M. Kelley

1 - SAC, Miami (Personal Attention) (Enclosure - copy of incoming)

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

WAF/lrk (9)

MAILED 9  
DEC 6 1973XEROX  
DEC 20 1973

62-112974

Asst. Dir. \_\_\_\_\_  
Adm. \_\_\_\_\_  
Comp. Syst. \_\_\_\_\_  
Ext. Affairs \_\_\_\_\_  
Files & Com. \_\_\_\_\_  
Gen. Inv. \_\_\_\_\_  
Ident. \_\_\_\_\_  
Inspection \_\_\_\_\_  
Intell. \_\_\_\_\_  
Laboratory \_\_\_\_\_  
Plan. & Eval. \_\_\_\_\_  
Rec. Mgmt. \_\_\_\_\_  
Training \_\_\_\_\_  
Off. Coun. \_\_\_\_\_  
Phone Rm. \_\_\_\_\_  
Sec'y \_\_\_\_\_

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

DEC 8 1973

RECEIVED

WAF

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

# Memorandum

TO : Mr. Franck *FF*

DATE: 1-21-74

FROM : Mr. Heim *LH*

SUBJECT: TERRY F. LENZNER  
DEPUTY MAJORITY COUNSEL  
SELECT COMMITTEE ON PRESIDENTIAL  
CAMPAIGN ACTIVITIES  
MEET WITH DIRECTOR  
11:00 A.M., JANUARY 24, 1974

Assoc. Dir. \_\_\_\_\_  
Asst. Dir.: \_\_\_\_\_  
Admin. \_\_\_\_\_  
Comp. Syst. \_\_\_\_\_  
Ext. Affairs \_\_\_\_\_  
Files & Com. \_\_\_\_\_  
Gen. Inv. \_\_\_\_\_  
Ident. \_\_\_\_\_  
Inspection \_\_\_\_\_  
Intell. \_\_\_\_\_  
Laboratory \_\_\_\_\_  
Plan. & Eval. \_\_\_\_\_  
Spec. Inv. \_\_\_\_\_  
Training \_\_\_\_\_  
Legal Coun. \_\_\_\_\_  
Telephone Rm. \_\_\_\_\_  
Director Sec'y \_\_\_\_\_

Captioned individual, Deputy Majority Counsel with the Select Committee on Presidential Campaign Activities (Watergate Committee) is scheduled to meet the Director at 11:00 A.M., Thursday, January 24, 1974.

For the Director's information, there is set forth a summary of Bufile data regarding Mr. Lenzner.

*TERRY F. LENZNER* \*  
Terry Falk Lenzner, born 8-10-39, New York City, NY, graduated from Harvard University with a B. A. degree in 1961, and from the Harvard Law School with an LL.B. in 1964. In 1964, he entered on duty with the Civil Rights Division of the Department of Justice as a Legal Assistant and served with that Division until 1967 when he transferred to the Office of the United States Attorney, Southern District of New York, where he served as an Assistant United States Attorney until February, 1969. In connection with this Federal service, we conducted a favorable applicant-type investigation in 1964. *D.C. 7/12/69*

Following his resignation as Assistant United States Attorney, he was employed for a short time as a Special Assistant to the President of the Board of Education for the city of New York. In May, 1969, he was employed in Washington, D. C., by the Office of Economic Opportunity (OEO) *S*

- 1 - Mr. Franck
- 1 - Mr. Gebhardt
- 1 - Mrs. *[REDACTED]*
- 1 - Telephone Room

*TH*:cal (8)

84 FEB 1 1974

REC 27

JAN 28 1974

CONTINUED OVER

CORRESPONDENCE

Mr. Heim to Mr. Franck Memorandum

RE: TERRY F. LENZNER, DEPUTY MAJORITY COUNSEL, SELECT  
COMMITTEE ON PRESIDENTIAL CAMPAIGN ACTIVITIES, MEET  
WITH DIRECTOR, 11:00 A.M., JANUARY 24, 1974

Lenzner served as one of the attorneys of the so-called "Harrisburg Eight" who were indicted in April, 1971, by a Federal Grand Jury on charges of plotting a series of acts of antiwar, vandalism, including draft board raids, the possible kidnapping of then Presidential Advisor Henry A. Kissinger, and the blowing up of underground heating systems of Federal buildings in Washington, D. C. That same year (1971) Lenzner was reportedly involved in the defense of Daniel Ellsberg through one of Lenzner's former law professors, Charles R. Nesson, a principal defense attorney.

In his capacity with the Watergate Committee, Lenzner gained attention during the televised portion of the Committee hearings in July, 1973, by his sharp questioning of Special Counsel to the President, Richard A. Moore. Lenzner was also involved in the 11-20-73, interview of SAC Kenneth W. Whittaker concerning SAC Whittaker's meeting on 6-18-73, with Mr. Charles G. Robozo relating to a political contribution held by Rebozo.

As the Director may recall, Mr. Lenzner is not one of the five persons on the Watergate Committee who have been granted access to FBI reports by the Attorney General. As indicated in a memorandum of 4-2-73, former Attorney General Kleindienst and Senator Ervin agreed that access to FBI material would be limited to Senators Ervin and Baker, Chief Counsel Samuel Dash, and Minority Counsel Fred Thompson. This policy was followed until 9-28-73 when then Deputy Attorney General Ruckelshaus sent us a memorandum requesting that Assistant Minority Counsel Donald Sanders also be allowed access to FBI material. These five individuals are permitted to view any FD-302 interview report form they specify, provided the interview was conducted in connection with the Watergate Committee material before 5-25-73, which is the date Archibald Cox was appointed to be Special Prosecutor. It is the position of the Special Prosecutor's Office that FBI interviews conducted since that date are not to be made available to the Senate Committee, and we have not made any available.

RECOMMENDATION:

None. For the Director's information.

# Rebozo Not Saying Bills Identical

By Martha Angle  
Star-News Staff Writer

Presidential confidante C. G. (Bebe) Rebozo has never claimed to have proof that the \$100,000 which he returned earlier this year to billionaire Howard R. Hughes was in the same bills he received three or more years ago, according to Senate investigators.

Senate sources said President Nixon, in remarks last week to a group of congressmen, appeared to go beyond what Rebozo has told investigators in regard to the handling of the secret contribution to Nixon, which was made in 1969 and 1970.

Nixon reportedly told the House members that Rebozo "had the FBI check it out" to verify that he was returning precisely the same \$100 bills he had received from a Hughes emissary, Richard Danner.

"So maybe Mr. Rebozo isn't so dumb," the President said, according to notes taken by Rep. Charles W. Whalen Jr., R-Ohio, during last week's meeting.

Rebozo has told Senate Watergate committee inves-

tigators he kept the \$100,000 in a safe-deposit box for three years and returned the cash in exactly the same form he had received it. But he has never claimed he can prove the bills were identical, Senate sources said. Committee investigators yesterday interviewed Kenneth Whitaker, special agent in charge of the FBI's Miami field office, who told them Rebozo asked him to examine the bills last year to verify they were the same ones received from the Hughes organization.

Sources said Whitaker told Rebozo there was no way of ascertaining merely from an examination of the money whether it was in the same form it had been received.

The Senate committee, which has been investigating the purpose and handling of the contribution, is expected to call Rebozo and several Hughes aides as witnesses during its current round of public hearings.

Thus far, sources said, committee investigators have been unable either to prove or disprove Rebozo's account of what was done with the money, although there are conflicting reports on why the \$100,000 was donated in the first place.

Assoc. Dir. \_\_\_\_\_  
Asst. Dir.:  
Admin. \_\_\_\_\_  
Comp. Syst. \_\_\_\_\_  
Ext. Affairs \_\_\_\_\_  
Files & Com. \_\_\_\_\_  
Gen. Inv. \_\_\_\_\_  
Ident. \_\_\_\_\_  
Inspection \_\_\_\_\_  
Intell. \_\_\_\_\_  
Laboratory \_\_\_\_\_  
Plan. & Eval. \_\_\_\_\_  
Spec. Inv. \_\_\_\_\_  
Training \_\_\_\_\_  
Legal Coun. \_\_\_\_\_  
Telephone Rm. \_\_\_\_\_  
Director Sec'y \_\_\_\_\_

The Washington Post  
Times Herald \_\_\_\_\_

The Evening Star (Washington) A-2

The Sunday Star (Washington) \_\_\_\_\_

Daily News (New York) \_\_\_\_\_

Sunday News (New York) \_\_\_\_\_

New York Post \_\_\_\_\_

The New York Times \_\_\_\_\_

The Daily World \_\_\_\_\_

The New Leader \_\_\_\_\_

The Wall Street Journal \_\_\_\_\_

The National Observer \_\_\_\_\_

People's World \_\_\_\_\_

Date NOV 21 1973

1 XEROX  
DEC 5 1973

62-115554-A  
170 DEC 5 1973

6-CM

**FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION**  
**FREEDOM OF INFORMATION/PRIVACY ACTS SECTION**



**SUBJECT: CHARLES G. REBOZO**

**FILE NUMBER: MM 9-2711**

XXXXXXX  
XXXXXXX  
XXXXXXXFEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION  
FOIPA  
DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

- ☐ Deletions were made pursuant to the exemptions indicated below with no segregable material available for release to you.

SECTION 552SECTION 552a☐ (b) (1)☐ (b) (7) (A)☐ (d) (5)☐ (b) (2)☐ (b) (7) (B)☐ (j) (2)☐ (b) (3)☐ (b) (7) (C)☐ (k) (1)☐ (b) (7) (D)☐ (k) (2)☐ (b) (7) (E)☐ (k) (3)☐ (b) (7) (F)☐ (k) (4)☐ (b) (4)☐ (b) (8)☐ (k) (5)☐ (b) (5)☐ (b) (9)☐ (k) (6)☐ (b) (6)☐ (k) (7)

- ☐ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to the subject of your request or the subject of your request is listed in the title only.

- ☐ Documents originated with another Government Agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

Page(s) contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following consultation with the other agency(ies).

Page(s) withheld inasmuch as a final release determination cannot be made. You will be advised as to the disposition at a later date.

2 Page(s) were not considered for release as they are duplicative of: **HQ 9-57906-1**

Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s):

- ☐ For your information:

☒ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages: **MM 9-2711-1**

XXXXXXX  
XXXXXXX  
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX  
X Deleted Page(s) X  
X No Duplication Fee X  
X For This Page X  
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

XXXXXX  
XXXXXX  
XXXXXXFEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION  
FOIPA  
DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

- ☐ Deletions were made pursuant to the exemptions indicated below with no segregable material available for release to you.

SECTION 552SECTION 552a☐ (b) (1)☐ (b) (7) (A)☐ (d) (5)☐ (b) (2)☐ (b) (7) (B)☐ (j) (2)☐ (b) (3)☐ (b) (7) (C)☐ (k) (1)☐ (b) (7) (D)☐ (k) (2)☐ (b) (7) (E)☐ (k) (3)☐ (b) (7) (F)☐ (k) (4)☐ (b) (4)☐ (b) (8)☐ (k) (5)☐ (b) (5)☐ (b) (9)☐ (k) (6)☐ (b) (6)☐ (k) (7)

- ☐ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to the subject of your request or the subject of your request is listed in the title only.

- ☐ Documents originated with another Government Agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

Page(s) contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following consultation with the other agency(ies).

Page(s) withheld inasmuch as a final release determination cannot be made. You will be advised as to the disposition at a later date.

1 Page(s) were not considered for release as they are duplicative of: **HQ 9-57906-4, Page 2**

Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s):

- ☐ For your information:

☒ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages: **MM 9-2711-2**

XXXXXX  
XXXXXX  
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX  
X Deleted Page(s) X  
X No Duplication Fee X  
X For This Page X  
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX



XXXXXXX  
XXXXXXX  
XXXXXXXFEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION  
FOIPA  
DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

- ☐ Deletions were made pursuant to the exemptions indicated below with no segregable material available for release to you.

SECTION 552SECTION 552a☐ (b) (1)☐ (b) (7) (A)☐ (d) (5)☐ (b) (2)☐ (b) (7) (B)☐ (j) (2)☐ (b) (3)☐ (b) (7) (C)☐ (k) (1)☐ (b) (7) (D)☐ (k) (2)☐ (b) (7) (E)☐ (k) (3)☐ (b) (7) (F)☐ (k) (4)☐ (b) (4)☐ (b) (8)☐ (k) (5)☐ (b) (5)☐ (b) (9)☐ (k) (6)☐ (b) (6)☐ (k) (7)

- ☐ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to the subject of your request or the subject of your request is listed in the title only.

- ☐ Documents originated with another Government Agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

Page(s) contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following consultation with the other agency(ies).

Page(s) withheld inasmuch as a final release determination cannot be made. You will be advised as to the disposition at a later date.

2 Page(s) were not considered for release as they are duplicative of: **HQ 9-57906-4, Pages 3-4**

Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s):

- ☐ For your information:

☒ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages: **MM 9-2711-2 Enclosure**

XXXXXXX  
XXXXXXX  
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX  
X Deleted Page(s) X  
X No Duplication Fee X  
X For This Page X  
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX



SAC, NEW YORK

6/17/74

SAC, MIAMI (9-2711) (P)

UNSUB, aka  
Pedro Rebozo;  
CHARLES G. REBOZO -  
VICTIM  
EXTORTION  
(OO: MIAMI)

Enclosed herewith are two copies each of an FD-302 containing results of interview of victim on 6/11/74. Also enclosed are two copies each of xerox copies of the extortion letter and envelope, which are attached to the FD-302.

It is requested that New York conduct investigation to identify the addressor of the envelope and attempt to identify the writer of the letter.

The original letter and envelope have been forwarded to the FBI Laboratory for latent fingerprint examination and search in the National Anonymous Letter File.

b7C



2 - New York (Encs. 2)  
② - Miami  
TJS/jjl  
(4)

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED  
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED  
DATE 11-9-99 BY SP5-JR/TMB  
436303 445364

9-2711-3

9- 2711

Post Office Box 520157  
Biscayne Annex  
Miami, Florida 33152

June 17, 1974

b7C

[REDACTED]  
U.S. Secret Service  
Roger Executive Center  
5225 N.W. 87th Avenue, Room 301  
Miami, Florida

RE: UNKNOWN SUBJECT,  
also known as  
Pedro Rebozo;  
CHARLES G. REBOZO -  
VICTIM  
EXTORTION

b7C

Dear Mr. [REDACTED]

Enclosed herewith is one xerox copy each of an  
anonymous letter received by Mr. Charles G. Rebozo at the  
Key Biscayne Bank on June 11, 1974, with its envelope.

Although the United States Attorney's Office has  
indicated the contents of the letter do not constitute a  
threat within the scope of the Federal Extortion Statute,  
the Federal Bureau of Investigation is conducting appropriate  
investigation to identify the sender. This is being  
furnished for your information.

Very truly yours,

  
KENNETH W. WHITTAKER  
Special Agent in Charge

Enclosures 2  
2 - Addressee (Encs. 2)  
2 - Miami  
TJS/jjl  
(4)

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED  
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED  
DATE 11-9-99 BY SP5 J/TMB  
436303 445364

9-2711-4

XXXXXXX  
XXXXXXX  
XXXXXXXFEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION  
FOIPA  
DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

\_\_\_\_\_ Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

- ☐ Deletions were made pursuant to the exemptions indicated below with no segregable material available for release to you.

SECTION 552SECTION 552a☐ (b) (1)☐ (b) (7) (A)☐ (d) (5)☐ (b) (2)☐ (b) (7) (B)☐ (j) (2)☐ (b) (3)☐ (b) (7) (C)☐ (k) (1)

\_\_\_\_\_

☐ (b) (7) (D)☐ (k) (2)

\_\_\_\_\_

☐ (b) (7) (E)☐ (k) (3)

\_\_\_\_\_

☐ (b) (7) (F)☐ (k) (4)☐ (b) (4)☐ (b) (8)☐ (k) (5)☐ (b) (5)☐ (b) (9)☐ (k) (6)☐ (b) (6)☐ (k) (7)

- ☐ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to the subject of your request or the subject of your request is listed in the title only.

- ☐ Documents originated with another Government Agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

\_\_\_\_\_ Page(s) contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following consultation with the other agency(ies).

\_\_\_\_\_ Page(s) withheld inasmuch as a final release determination cannot be made. You will be advised as to the disposition at a later date.

1 Page(s) were not considered for release as they are duplicative of: **HQ 9-57906-3, 1st Enclosure Page**

\_\_\_\_\_ Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s):

- ☐ For your information:

- ☒ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages: **MM 9-2711-5**

XXXXXXX  
XXXXXXX  
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX  
X Deleted Page(s) X  
X No Duplication Fee X  
X For This Page X  
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

XXXXXX  
XXXXXX  
XXXXXXFEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION  
FOIPA  
DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

- ☐ Deletions were made pursuant to the exemptions indicated below with no segregable material available for release to you.

SECTION 552SECTION 552a☐ (b) (1)☐ (b) (7) (A)☐ (d) (5)☐ (b) (2)☐ (b) (7) (B)☐ (j) (2)☐ (b) (3)☐ (b) (7) (C)☐ (k) (1)☐ (b) (7) (D)☐ (k) (2)☐ (b) (7) (E)☐ (k) (3)☐ (b) (7) (F)☐ (k) (4)☐ (b) (4)☐ (b) (8)☐ (k) (5)☐ (b) (5)☐ (b) (9)☐ (k) (6)☐ (b) (6)☐ (k) (7)

- ☐ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to the subject of your request or the subject of your request is listed in the title only.

- ☐ Documents originated with another Government Agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

Page(s) contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following consultation with the other agency(ies).

Page(s) withheld inasmuch as a final release determination cannot be made. You will be advised as to the disposition at a later date.

1 Page(s) were not considered for release as they are duplicative of: **HQ 9-57906-3, Cover Page**

Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s):

- ☐ For your information:

- ☒ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages: **MM 9-2711-6**

XXXXXX  
XXXXXX  
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX  
X Deleted Page(s) X  
X No Duplication Fee X  
X For This Page X  
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

XXXXXX  
XXXXXX  
XXXXXXFEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION  
FOIPA  
DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

- ☐ Deletions were made pursuant to the exemptions indicated below with no segregable material available for release to you.

SECTION 552SECTION 552a☐ (b) (1)☐ (b) (7) (A)☐ (d) (5)☐ (b) (2)☐ (b) (7) (B)☐ (j) (2)☐ (b) (3)☐ (b) (7) (C)☐ (k) (1)☐ (b) (7) (D)☐ (k) (2)☐ (b) (7) (E)☐ (k) (3)☐ (b) (7) (F)☐ (k) (4)☐ (b) (4)☐ (b) (8)☐ (k) (5)☐ (b) (5)☐ (b) (9)☐ (k) (6)☐ (b) (6)☐ (k) (7)

- ☐ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to the subject of your request or the subject of your request is listed in the title only.

- ☐ Documents originated with another Government Agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

Page(s) contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following consultation with the other agency(ies).

Page(s) withheld inasmuch as a final release determination cannot be made. You will be advised as to the disposition at a later date.

1 Page(s) were not considered for release as they are duplicative of: **HQ 9-57906-4, Page 6**

Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s):

- ☐ For your information:

- ☒ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages: **MM 9-2711-7**

XXXXXX  
XXXXXX  
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX  
X Deleted Page(s) X  
X No Duplication Fee X  
X For This Page X  
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

XXXXXXX  
XXXXXXX  
XXXXXXXFEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION  
FOIPA  
DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

- ☐ Deletions were made pursuant to the exemptions indicated below with no segregable material available for release to you.

SECTION 552SECTION 552a☐ (b) (1)☐ (b) (7) (A)☐ (d) (5)☐ (b) (2)☐ (b) (7) (B)☐ (j) (2)☐ (b) (3)☐ (b) (7) (C)☐ (k) (1)☐ (b) (7) (D)☐ (k) (2)☐ (b) (7) (E)☐ (k) (3)☐ (b) (7) (F)☐ (k) (4)☐ (b) (4)☐ (b) (8)☐ (k) (5)☐ (b) (5)☐ (b) (9)☐ (k) (6)☐ (b) (6)☐ (k) (7)

- ☐ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to the subject of your request or the subject of your request is listed in the title only.

- ☐ Documents originated with another Government Agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

Page(s) contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following consultation with the other agency(ies).

Page(s) withheld inasmuch as a final release determination cannot be made. You will be advised as to the disposition at a later date.

1 Page(s) were not considered for release as they are duplicative of: **HQ 9-57906-2, Cover Page**

Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s):

- ☐ For your information:

- ☒ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages: **MM 9-2711-8**

XXXXXXX  
XXXXXXX  
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX  
X Deleted Page(s) X  
X No Duplication Fee X  
X For This Page X  
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

XXXXXXX  
XXXXXXX  
XXXXXXXFEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION  
FOIPA  
DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

- ☐ Deletions were made pursuant to the exemptions indicated below with no segregable material available for release to you.

SECTION 552SECTION 552a☐ (b) (1)☐ (b) (7) (A)☐ (d) (5)☐ (b) (2)☐ (b) (7) (B)☐ (j) (2)☐ (b) (3)☐ (b) (7) (C)☐ (k) (1)☐ (b) (7) (D)☐ (k) (2)☐ (b) (7) (E)☐ (k) (3)☐ (b) (7) (F)☐ (k) (4)☐ (b) (4)☐ (b) (8)☐ (k) (5)☐ (b) (5)☐ (b) (9)☐ (k) (6)☐ (b) (6)☐ (k) (7)

- ☐ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to the subject of your request or the subject of your request is listed in the title only.

- ☐ Documents originated with another Government Agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

Page(s) contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following consultation with the other agency(ies).

Page(s) withheld inasmuch as a final release determination cannot be made. You will be advised as to the disposition at a later date.

2 Page(s) were not considered for release as they are duplicative of: **HQ 9-57906-4, Pages 7-8**

Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s):

- ☐ For your information:

- ☒ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages: **MM 9-2711-8 Enclosure**

XXXXXXX  
XXXXXXX  
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX  
X Deleted Page(s) X  
X No Duplication Fee X  
X For This Page X  
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

XXXXXX  
XXXXXX  
XXXXXXFEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION  
FOIPA  
DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

- ☐ Deletions were made pursuant to the exemptions indicated below with no segregable material available for release to you.

SECTION 552SECTION 552a☐ (b) (1)☐ (b) (7) (A)☐ (d) (5)☐ (b) (2)☐ (b) (7) (B)☐ (j) (2)☐ (b) (3)☐ (b) (7) (C)☐ (k) (1)☐ (b) (7) (D)☐ (k) (2)☐ (b) (7) (E)☐ (k) (3)☐ (b) (7) (F)☐ (k) (4)☐ (b) (4)☐ (b) (8)☐ (k) (5)☐ (b) (5)☐ (b) (9)☐ (k) (6)☐ (b) (6)☐ (k) (7)

- ☐ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to the subject of your request or the subject of your request is listed in the title only.

- ☐ Documents originated with another Government Agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

Page(s) contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following consultation with the other agency(ies).

Page(s) withheld inasmuch as a final release determination cannot be made. You will be advised as to the disposition at a later date.

1 Page(s) were not considered for release as they are duplicative of: **HQ 9-57906-1 Outgoing, Cover Page**

Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s):

- ☐ For your information:

- ☒ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages: **MM 9-2711-9**

XXXXXX  
XXXXXX  
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX  
X Deleted Page(s) X  
X No Duplication Fee X  
X For This Page X  
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX



XXXXXXX  
XXXXXXX  
XXXXXXXFEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION  
FOIPA  
DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

\_\_\_\_\_ Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

- ☐ Deletions were made pursuant to the exemptions indicated below with no segregable material available for release to you.

SECTION 552SECTION 552a☐ (b) (1)☐ (b) (7) (A)☐ (d) (5)☐ (b) (2)☐ (b) (7) (B)☐ (j) (2)☐ (b) (3)☐ (b) (7) (C)☐ (k) (1)☐ (b) (7) (D)☐ (k) (2)☐ (b) (7) (E)☐ (k) (3)☐ (b) (7) (F)☐ (k) (4)☐ (b) (4)☐ (b) (8)☐ (k) (5)☐ (b) (5)☐ (b) (9)☐ (k) (6)☐ (b) (6)☐ (k) (7)

- ☐ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to the subject of your request or the subject of your request is listed in the title only.

- ☐ Documents originated with another Government Agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

\_\_\_\_\_ Page(s) contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following consultation with the other agency(ies).

\_\_\_\_\_ Page(s) withheld inasmuch as a final release determination cannot be made. You will be advised as to the disposition at a later date.

\_\_\_1\_\_\_ Page(s) were not considered for release as they are duplicative of: **HQ 9-57906-2 Outgoing, 1st Enclosure**  
Page

\_\_\_\_\_ Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s):

- ☐ For your information:

- ☒ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages: **MM 9-2711-10**

XXXXXXX  
XXXXXXX  
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX  
X Deleted Page(s) X  
X No Duplication Fee X  
X For This Page X  
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

XXXXXX  
XXXXXX  
XXXXXXFEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION  
FOIPA  
DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

\_\_\_\_\_ Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

- ☐ Deletions were made pursuant to the exemptions indicated below with no segregable material available for release to you.

SECTION 552SECTION 552a☐ (b) (1)☐ (b) (7) (A)☐ (d) (5)☐ (b) (2)☐ (b) (7) (B)☐ (j) (2)☐ (b) (3)☐ (b) (7) (C)☐ (k) (1)☐ (b) (7) (D)☐ (k) (2)☐ (b) (7) (E)☐ (k) (3)☐ (b) (7) (F)☐ (k) (4)☐ (b) (4)☐ (b) (8)☐ (k) (5)☐ (b) (5)☐ (b) (9)☐ (k) (6)☐ (b) (6)☐ (k) (7)

- ☐ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to the subject of your request or the subject of your request is listed in the title only.

- ☐ Documents originated with another Government Agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

\_\_\_\_\_ Page(s) contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following consultation with the other agency(ies).

\_\_\_\_\_ Page(s) withheld inasmuch as a final release determination cannot be made. You will be advised as to the disposition at a later date.

\_\_\_1\_\_\_ Page(s) were not considered for release as they are duplicative of: **HQ 9-57906-2 Outgoing, Cover Page**

\_\_\_\_\_ Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s):

- ☐ For your information:

- ☒ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages: **MM 9-2711-11**

XXXXXX  
XXXXXX  
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX  
X Deleted Page(s) X  
X No Duplication Fee X  
X For This Page X  
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

XXXXXX  
XXXXXX  
XXXXXXFEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION  
FOIPA  
DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

- ☐ Deletions were made pursuant to the exemptions indicated below with no segregable material available for release to you.

SECTION 552SECTION 552a☐ (b) (1)☐ (b) (7) (A)☐ (d) (5)☐ (b) (2)☐ (b) (7) (B)☐ (j) (2)☐ (b) (3)☐ (b) (7) (C)☐ (k) (1)☐ (b) (7) (D)☐ (k) (2)☐ (b) (7) (E)☐ (k) (3)☐ (b) (7) (F)☐ (k) (4)☐ (b) (4)☐ (b) (8)☐ (k) (5)☐ (b) (5)☐ (b) (9)☐ (k) (6)☐ (b) (6)☐ (k) (7)

- ☐ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to the subject of your request or the subject of your request is listed in the title only.

- ☐ Documents originated with another Government Agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

Page(s) contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following consultation with the other agency(ies).

Page(s) withheld inasmuch as a final release determination cannot be made. You will be advised as to the disposition at a later date.

12 Page(s) were not considered for release as they are duplicative of: **HQ 9-57906-4**

Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s):

- ☐ For your information:

- ☒ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages: **MM 9-2711-12**

XXXXXX  
XXXXXX  
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX  
X Deleted Page(s) X  
X No Duplication Fee X  
X For This Page X  
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX



File No.

9-2711-1A<sup>1</sup>

Date Received

6/11/74

From

Chas H. Rabozo

(NAME OF CONTRIBUTOR)

Key Biscayne

(ADDRESS OF CONTRIBUTOR)

Miami, Fla.

(CITY AND STATE)

To Be Returned

☐

Yes

Receipt Given

☐

Yes

☒

No

☒

No

Description:

Extortion letter  
& envelope.

both sent to Bureau with  
mm airtel to Bureau  
dated 6/14/74. JKH.

Ret'd 7-19-74

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED  
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED

11-9-99 SPS-JC/TMB

436303/445364

LATENT FINGERPRINT EVIDENCE

JP 11/1/79

DO NOT TOUCH

LATENT FINGERPRINT EVIDENCE


ITE

6/11/79  
AT  
MM 9-

P.S. I SURE + LEAVE YOUR MONEY TO AN  
IMPEACHED PRESIDENT + HIS LESBIAN  
DAUGHTER, TRISSIA. AS YOU LAY DYING, THINK  
OF YOUR STUPIDITY.

DEAR MR. REBOZO

A SUM OF MONEY (1 MILLION  
DOLLARS) HAS BEEN DEPOSITED  
TO A NUMBERED SWISS ACCOUNT  
(LARGE BANK). THIS MONEY IS TO  
BE PAID TO A PROFESSIONAL HIRED  
DEADLY KILLER, WHEN HE KILLS  
YOU. NO MATTER WHAT PRECAUTIONS  
YOU TAKE, NO MATTER WHERE  
YOU HIDE, NO MATTER HOW MANY  
BRIBES YOU WILL HAVE  
PAID HIM, HE WILL FIND YOU.  
HE IS ALREADY IN YOUR AREA,  
BEING SURE THAT NOTHING  
WILL PREVENT HIS MISSION TO  
KILL YOU. HIS "CONTRACTS" ARE ALWAYS  
SUCCESSFUL, FOR HIS SCOPF RIFLE  
EXPERIENCE IS PHENOMENAL. HE  
IS LIVING THIS FOR MANY YEARS, BUT  
WHERE HE LIVES, NO ONE SUSPECTS HIM.  
HE HAS NO RECORD, NO FINGERPRINTS ETC.  
GOOD BYE, YOU ARE A DEAD FOOL  
ALL THE WAY. NEVER "USED" FOR.



FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION  
WASHINGTON, D. C.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION  
WASHINGTON, D. C.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION  
WASHINGTON, D. C.

JP  
6/1/74

MH 9-

FROM

PEDRO REBOZO

137-3379 HOWARD BEACH

QUEENS, N.Y. 11354

POSTMASTER

PLEASE

DELIVER

DONT KNOW

STREET NUMBER

THANK YOU



740624058 JB Q1

FBI  
LABORATORY

EVIDENCE

TOUCH

TOUCH

EVIDENCE



**FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION**  
**FREEDOM OF INFORMATION/PRIVACY ACTS SECTION**



**SUBJECT: CHARLES G. REBOZO**

**FILE NUMBER: MM 62-6333**



UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

# Memorandum

TO : SAC, MIAMI (62-9)

DATE: 11/16/73

FROM : SA [REDACTED] b7C

SUBJECT: C.G. REBOZO, aka  
Bobo Rebozo  
INFORMATION CONCERNING  
CO/MM

On 11/7/73, [REDACTED]

b7C [REDACTED], a member of the Key West Bar Pilots (a ship pilot service), P.O. Box 848, Key West, Florida, telephone 296-5512, appeared at the FBI Resident Agency, Key West, at which time he furnished the following information:

Approximately three months ago, two partners in a local company, ENJOCK "JOEY" WALKER and CLAUDE THOMPSON, made application to the General Services Administration for use of the seaward portion of the "mole", a concrete pier located on the U.S. Naval Station which contains the harbor area, for the purpose of unloading cargo ships arriving in this port. The application had been made on the assumption that the mole and other Naval docking facilities would be vacated by the Navy sometime within the foreseeable future and would, therefore, be made available to civilian bidders. Same time after the application had been sent in, however, GSA advised WALKER that the mole was unavailable for civilian use.

Subsequent to GSA's reply, WALKER discovered, in reviewing records and talking to friends, that another civilian company, name unknown, in which "BOBO" REBOZO had a substantial interest or position, had also applied to GSA for use of the entire mole area as a yacht basin and storage area. [REDACTED] did not know whether or not that particular application received preferential consideration by the Navy or GSA, but suspected that it might have, inasmuch as WALKER is active in local Democratic Party activities.

[REDACTED] was unable to provide any further details on this matter, noting that the above information had been obtained by him on a second-hand basis only.

On 11/12/73, ROBERT BAGSHAW, Naval Investigative Service, U.S. Naval Station, Key West, advised that the Executive Officer of the station had previously made an offhand comment to the effect that REBOZO's name had come up in some type of application for use of part of the Naval Station; however, no elaboration was given on this. BAGSHAW noted that the Naval Base has no immediate plans to dispose of the mole or other docking facilities; he said that if and when the Naval Command decides to dispose of this property, it would be in [REDACTED]



5010-108-02

Please this info in  
an LHM + AIT to  
1 - Miami Bureau  
JCN:jcm

+ close.

call me. Buy U.S. Savings Bonds Regularly on the Payroll Savings Plan. Retire.

62-1333-1

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
NOV 19 1973	
FBI - MIAMI	

62-0

2.

accordance with established policy to first offer use of these facilities to other government agencies (including the City of Key West, which has an active interest in obtaining the docking area), before commercial or private interests could be considered.

In the absence of any apparent federal violation, the above is being set forth for information purposes only.

62-6333

Miami, Florida  
November 27, 1973

RE: POSSIBLE FUTURE USE OF U. S. NAVY  
DOCKING FACILITY, KNOWN AS "MOLE"  
BY CIVILIAN PERSONNEL, KEY WEST,  
FLORIDA

b7C  
On 11/7/73, [REDACTED] a member of the Key West Bar Pilots Association, a local ship pilot service, P. O. Box 848, Key West, Florida, telephone 305-296-5512, appeared at the Key West, Florida Resident Agency of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, at which time he furnished the following information:

Approximately three months ago, two partners in a local company, EDOCH "JOHNIE" WALKER and CLAUDE THOMPSON, made formal application to the General Services Administration (GSA) for use of the seaward portion of the "Mole", a lengthy concrete pier within the premises of the U. S. Naval Station, Key West, for the purpose of unloading cargo ships arriving in this port. The application had been made on the assumption that the "Mole", together with other Naval docking facilities in the Key West area, would be vacated by the Navy sometime within the foreseeable future and would, consequently, be available to civilian bidders. Some time after the application had been sent in, however, GSA informed WALKER that the "Mole" was unavailable for civilian use.

Subsequent to GSA's reply, WALKER discovered, in reviewing records and talking to friends, that another civilian party, in which "HENRY" REBOZO allegedly held a substantial interest, had also applied to either GSA or the Navy Command for use of the entire mole area as a yacht basin and yacht storage area. Neither WALKER nor [REDACTED] knew whether or not that particular application received preferential consideration by GSA or the Navy, but inasmuch as WALKER is actively involved in local Democratic Party activities, [REDACTED] suspected that this may possibly be the case.

[REDACTED] was unable to provide any further details concerning this matter, noting that the above information had been obtained by him on a second-hand basis only.

Searched \_\_\_\_\_  
Indexed \_\_\_\_\_  
Serialized \_\_\_\_\_  
Filed \_\_\_\_\_

62-6333-2

RE: POSSIBLE FUTURE USED OF U. S. NAVY  
DOCKING FACILITY, KNOWN AS "MOLE"  
BY CIVILIAN PERSONNEL, KEY WEST,  
FLORIDA

On November 12, 1973, ROBERT BAGSHAW, Senior Resident Agent, Naval Investigative Service (NIS), U. S. Naval Station, Key West, Florida, advised that the Executive Officer of this station had previously made an offhand comment to the effect that KERGEO's name had, in fact, come up in some type of application for use of a part of the Naval Station facilities; however, no elaboration was made on this comment. BAGSHAW noted that from what he had been able to ascertain, the Naval Command has no immediate plans to dispose of the mole or other local docking facilities, even though these facilities are not currently being utilized, and a gradual long-range phase out of the station is, in fact, anticipated. If and when a decision is made to do so, he said, it would be established policy to first offer use of these facilities to other government agencies including the City of Key West, which has long had an active interest in taking possession of the dock area, before any commercial or private interests, could be considered. He added that disposal of any current federal property would be effected through the auspices of the General Services Administration.

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

RE: POSSIBLE FUTURE USED OF U. S. NAVY  
DOCKING FACILITY, KNOWN AS "MOLE"  
BY CIVILIAN PERSONNEL, KEY WEST,  
FLORIDA

b7C

On November 12, 1973, [REDACTED] Senior Resident Agent, Naval Investigative Service (NIS), U. S. Naval Station, Key West, Florida, advised that the Executive Officer of this station had previously made an offhand comment to the effect that REBOZO's name had, in fact, come up in some type of application for use of a part of the Naval Station facilities; however, no elaboration was made on this comment. [REDACTED] noted that from what he had been able to ascertain, the Naval Command has no immediate plans to dispose of the mole or other local docking facilities, even though these facilities are not currently being utilized, and a gradual long-range phase out of the station is, in fact, anticipated. If and when a decision is made to do so, he said, it would be established policy to first offer use of these facilities to other government agencies including the City of Key West, which has long had an active interest in taking possession of the dock area, before any commercial or private interests, could be considered. He added that disposal of any current federal property would be effected through the auspices of the General Services Administration.

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

# Memorandum

TO : SAC, MIAMI (62-9)

DATE: 11/16/73

FROM : SA [REDACTED] b7C

SUBJECT: C.G. REBOZO, aka

Eshe Rebozo

INFORMATION CONCERNING

CC/MY

On 11/7/73, [REDACTED]

b7C [REDACTED], a member of the Key West War Pilots (a ship pilot service), P.O. Box 848, Key West, Florida, telephone 296-5512, appeared at the FBI Resident Agency, Key West, at which time he furnished the following information:

Approximately three months ago, two partners in a local company, [REDACTED] and [REDACTED], made application to the General Services Administration for use of the seaward portion of the "mole", a concrete pier located on the U.S. Naval Station which contains the harbor area, for the purpose of unloading cargo ships arriving in this port. The application had been made on the assumption that the mole and other Naval docking facilities would be vacated by the Navy sometime within the foreseeable future and would, therefore, be made available to civilian bidders. Same time after the application had been sent in, however, GSA advised [REDACTED] that the mole was unavailable for civilian use.

Subsequent to GSA's reply, [REDACTED] discovered, in reviewing records and talking to friends, that another civilian company, name unknown, in which "PEPE" REBOZO had a substantial interest or position, had also applied to GSA for use of the entire mole area as a yacht basin and storage area. [REDACTED] did not know whether or not that particular application received preferential consideration by the Navy or GSA, but suspected that it might have, inasmuch as [REDACTED] is active in local Democratic Party activities.

[REDACTED] was unable to provide any further details on this matter, noting that the above information had been obtained by him on a second-hand basis only.

On 11/12/73, [REDACTED] Naval Investigative Service, U.S. Naval Station, Key West, advised that the Executive Officer of the station had previously made an offhand comment to the effect that REBOZO's name had come up in some type of application for use of part of the Naval Station; however, no elaboration was given on this. [REDACTED] noted that the Naval Base has no immediate plans to dispose of the mole or other docking facilities; he said that if and when the Naval Command decides to dispose of this property, it would be in [REDACTED]



5010-108-02

Please this info in  
an LHM + AIT to  
1 - Miami Bureau  
JCN:jcm

+ close.

Buy U.S. Savings Bonds Regularly on the Payroll Savings Plan  
Call me. Re Title.

62-333-1

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
JAN 1 1974	
FBI - MIAMI	

Call me 11-19-73  
Paw ml